

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_94976
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Teachers towards their Transfers on students' academic Achievement in Public secondary schools in Kakamega County
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is relevant for scientific community as it looks into ways of improving academic achievement of students. The title is suitable and the abstract is comprehensive though it talked about utilisation of graphs and pie chart in the analysis but not cited in the body of work. The references are too many. Some cited authors not referenced, some cited with different dates from those of the references and many are not current.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication but need to correct editorial errors as indicated in the reviewed manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	There should be recommendations based on the findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veronica Chinonyelum Ude
Department, University & Country	Godfrey Okoye University, Nigeria