

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93991
Title of the Manuscript:	A Model of Footstep Generator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	(1) Initially, the authors are advised to define conditions for future research scope remarks at the end of the abstract section. (2) Add some latest work in the manuscript. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i.e. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.3555638</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	After considering the subject and results, this work entails some new information and could be a merit for publication. English (grammar, style, sentence structure) need to be revised in some sentences of manuscript after careful reading.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The work is fair but the paper needs to be structured properly.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivendra Nandan
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Technology, India