

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_95439</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Debt Crisis and Nigerian Economy: An Assessment Of The Buhari Administration (2015-2021)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1) This is an evaluation of a current financial situation in a country.  2) The Title of the article is acceptable  3) The Abstract need to be different than the introduction (Major part of abstract is repeated in introduction)  4) The sections and subsections of the article are presented in average reasonable style.  5) The Manuscript is the over load of secondary data giving less scope for original views for contribution.  6) The references are adequate	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1) There is a scope for improving the English Research language: He / She/ It - to be substituted with proper reference or name	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	2) With the above mentioned changes incorporated the article can proceed for publication	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Santhosh Samuel Putta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Savitribai Phule Pune University, India</b>