

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95239
Title of the Manuscript:	Safety and Efficacy of Ultrasound Guided Costoclavicular Brachial Plexus Block versus Interscalene Block in Adult Patients Undergoing Shoulder Surgery. A Prospective Randomized Double Blinded Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.It is important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References more than sufficient.but can come down and keep recent references</p> <p>Good study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In methodology need revision.don't put uiversity name.double blinding need to be explained Sample size need justification Keywordt reduced in number Add following references Guzel M, Bingul ES, Salviz EA, Senturk E, Cosgun MF, Savran Karadeniz M. Comparison of ultrasound-guided supraclavicular and costoclavicular brachial plexus blocks in pediatric patients: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of Anesthesia. 2022 Nov 27:1-9. Kamel EZ, Fathy GM, Talaat M, Bakri MH, Soliman OM, Abd El-Salam MA, Ismail EA. Ultrasound guided suprascapular and costoclavicular nerve block versus interscalene nerve block for postoperative analgesia in arthroscopic shoulder surgery: A randomized non-inferiority clinical trial. Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia. 2022 Dec 31;38(1):446-52.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balasaheb Tukaram Govardhane
Department, University & Country	Dr. D. Y. Patil School of Medicine, India