

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95237
Title of the Manuscript:	Diffusion Weighted MR and Dynamic Contrast Enhanced MRI for Characterization of Ovarian Tumors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>[1] The manuscript has great relevance for the scientific community.</p> <p>[2] The Title is brief, but clarifying for those in the area, I suggest a verb at the beginning.</p> <p>[3]The abstract describes the methodology and results well, I suggest describing the acronym DCE-MRI.</p> <p>[4] The parts of the manuscript are in tune with the results, which proved to be easy to read.</p> <p>[5] In general, the parts of the manuscript comply with scientific rigour.</p> <p>[6]For a retrospective study, the references are current, I suggest including He, M., Song, Y., Li, H., Lu, J., Li, Y., Duan, S., & Qiang, J. (2020). Histogram analysis comparison of monoexponential, advanced diffusion-weighted imaging, and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI for differentiating borderline from malignant epithelial ovarian tumors. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i>, 52(1), 257-268. In the statistical analysis, I suggest referencing the computational program SPSS v15.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The technical language of the manuscript is clear and the tables and figures better elucidate the text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Whenever one technique complements another or is revised and indicated as the gold standard for effective diagnosis, time and money can be saved in many imaging services, especially for patient management for specialty treatments such as surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Victorino Claus
Department, University & Country	Franciscan University, Brazil