

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_95066
Title of the Manuscript:	TEXT ENCRYPTION WITH IMPROVED ELLIPTIC CURVE CRYPTOGRAPHY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

Description of Comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	This is an interesting paper in which the researchers used Elliptic Curve Method for text security by improving the nature linear equation.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Up to some extent	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Literature Review and Preliminary sections are missing	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes, the researchers have excellently tackled the method, and implemented using 256 bits in Java language.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the references are recent and state of the art.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A: The author must define all the notations used in the article. B: Preliminary section is needed where the author must define the difficult terminologies C: Literature Review section is much needed.	
Optional/General comments		
	Conclusion need to be revised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeed Ullah Jan
Department, University & Country	Govt Degree College Wari, Pakistan