

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_95338
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of health risks associated with the use of the water and fish from the River Nyong, Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. It 2. Yes. The title of the article is suitable. 3. Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Yes. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. However, the reference 'Runge, 2012' cited in line 16, page 3, was not listed among the references at the end.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The English Language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. 2. The author(s) need to italicise the phrase ' <i>et al</i> ' throughout the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chinyere Ogbodo
Department, University & Country	Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Nigeria