

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95139
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Sulphur Fractions in Calcareous Soil by using different Sulphur Sources and Grades of Sulphur
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript can improve our knowledge on sulphur chemistry.</p> <p>The following title is suggested: Effect of different sources of sulphur on sulphur fractions in a calcareous soil No.</p> <p>The manuscript need to be rewritten.</p> <p>Most probably.</p> <p>Not at all. The methods of soil analysis is not referenced properly.</p> <p>It seems that this manuscript is the first one that the authors have been written. So it is recommended that the authors study more papers on this issue.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Not at all.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehdi Karimi
Department, University & Country	National Salinity Research Center, Agricultural Research Education and Extension Organization, Iran