

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_95008</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>"Impact of Tillage on Growth, Productivity and Economics of Soybean Grown in Vertisols of Western Madhya Pradesh"</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, needs careful attention</b>  <b>Yes, needs attention</b> <b>make separate and specific objectives in orders 1,2,3...</b> <b>not properly sectioned rather they are bunched- needs more attention.</b> <b>Good</b> <b>It would have been better to include more recent ones</b> <b>1.The way of expressing the objectives need more attention all written in one no need of "and"</b> <b>2. Typographical errors.</b> <b>3. Methodological part is missing.</b> <b>4. Needs clearer should be the way of writing.</b> <b>5. Recommendations are available</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Needs improvement	
<b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>  <b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Abebe Tiruneh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopia</b>