

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_83168
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological and Pathogenic Variability in <i>Alternaria solani</i> Causing Early Blight Disease in Tomato ( <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.) in Chhattisgarh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><u>TITLE</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Title wording is acceptable</li> </ul> <p><u>ABSTRACT</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Put at least one introductory sentence at the beginning of the summary.</li> </ul> <p><u>KEYWORDS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rephrase keywords</li> <li>- Suggested keywords: Conidia, Isolates, Disease Intensity, Morphological and Pathogenic Variability.</li> </ul> <p><u>INTRODUCTION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The introduction is acceptable because it is well written and very succinct.</li> <li>- The author has clearly brought out the problem and the objective.</li> </ul> <p><u>MATERIAL AND METHODS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Present on a simple and clear map the study area or sample collection sites</li> <li>- Show by some figures the variabilities of the morphology of <i>Alternaria solani</i></li> <li>- Illustrate in clear figures the varieties of typical <i>Alternaria</i> symptoms</li> <li>- Number and give a title to each of the tables and to each of the figures to be presented throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>- Specify the references of the methodology for collecting and processing samples (author and year)</li> <li>- Specify the references (author and year) of the methods used to study morphological and pathogenic variability</li> <li>- Specify the references (author and year) of the method used to study pathogenic variability</li> <li>- Specify the references (author and year) of the formula used to calculate the disease index (PDI) if this formula does not come from the author himself</li> <li>- Specify the references (author and year) of the formula used to calculate the area under the disease progression curve (AUDPC) if this formula does not come from the author himself.</li> </ul> <p><u>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Present preferably in the form of simple tables the different results obtained.</li> <li>- The results presented in tables are easier to understand and read.</li> <li>- Put in tables the results obtained on the morphological characterization of isolates of <i>Alternaria solani</i></li> <li>- Number and give a title to each of the tables to be presented in this part of "Results and Discussion"</li> <li>- In this part of the discussion, after presenting the results obtained, the author must first comment on them and then interpret them, before comparing them with the results of other authors.</li> <li>- Briefly specify the conditions under which these authors who are quoted, carried out their work and the objective pursued by the latter.</li> </ul> <p><u>CONCLUSION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author has not written a conclusion for his manuscript.</li> <li>- The conclusion must summarize all that is said in the "Results and Discussion" section.</li> <li>- In the conclusion, the author must clearly highlight whether the objective for this study has been totally or partially achieved or not achieved.</li> </ul> <p><u>REFERENCES</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The works cited in these references have been well chosen. Because they focused on terms that are similar to this present study.</li> <li>- The author was also able to harmonize all the chosen references.</li> <li>- However, the author must ensure that all references cited here have been fully noted in the manuscript (and vice versa).</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Koudegnan Comlan Mawussi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lome, Togo</b>