

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95401
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and classification of sugarcane growing soil of Haryana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • YES, it is important. The research study highlights the soil properties of the regions and their various parameters are well described for the agricultural point of view. • Title is suitable. • Yes, abstract clearly shows what authors actually did in this study. • The subsections need to be revised. • Yes, the study looks original and scientific. • References must be added recent and relevant to this study. Suggest to add more references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the manuscript many grammatically errors. It is suggested to authors the manuscript must be checked in Grammarly software. • Introduction section is not enough to describe the soil types, classification and any specific thoughts why the authors carried out the study and less literature are given. It is suggested to add some more specific section related to soil in the region. • There is no any study map or location map given to high light the study area. Suggested to author provide suitable location map indicating the sampling points as well in the map. • Discussion part must be added separately which will be attractive for researcher and to focus on the research study what are the discussed in the results. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shoukat Ali Shah
Department, University & Country	Wuhan University, China