



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. The text of the manuscript does not fully meet the requirements for its design. In particular, this applies to headings of sections and subsections, formulas and figures, as well as the design of the list of sources. I encourage authors to review several articles that have already been published in this journal. Authors will then have a template for designing their article.</p> <p>2. I do not see a reference to Figure 1 in the text of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. At the end of section 1, the authors use the following expression: "in last 30 years (from 1987 to 2016)". These are not recent years. The last year is 2022.</p> <p>4. Subsection 3.5. formed unsuccessfully. It contains not so much a discussion of the research results as a review of the literature. At the same time, for some reason, the discussion ends with data from India. In general, the literature review should be included in the introduction or in a separate section. In the discussion, it is necessary to compare the results obtained by the authors with the results obtained earlier by other scientists. It would also be possible to cite the advantages and disadvantages of the research carried out by the authors, present the prospects for further research, etc. Therefore, subsection 3.5 needs to be either improved or removed altogether (then the list of sources needs to be adjusted). In the second case, section 4 should be slightly expanded and called "Discussions and conclusions", as it is done, for example, in this article: <a href="https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/1553/">https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/1553/</a></p>	<p>1. The all manuscript was revised following the Journal guidelines attached on this e-mail. Headings of sections and subsections, formulas and figures and sources were revised following the guidelines (attached template) .</p> <p>2. The figure 1 is now referred in the text</p> <p>3. This was corrected</p> <p>4. Discussion and conclusions were combined in point 4. All text (long literature) no related to result discussion was removed. The text of "Discussion and conclusion" was reduced. We followed the this article model <a href="https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/1553/">https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/1553/</a></p>