

*Original Research Article*

**Morphological Screening of Black Gram Genotypes with reference  
to Mung bean yellow Mosaic virus**

**Abstract**

Mung bean yellow mosaic is a major destructive disease affecting blackgram productivity in India. It is transmitted by whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. The present investigation was to identify resistant blackgram genotypes against [the Mung bean yellow Mosaic virus](#) (MYMV) at natural condition through field screening. Infector row method was followed to screen the genotypes. Screening was done with 12 blackgram genotypes [VBN-VBN-2](#), [VBN-VBN-3](#), [VBN-VBN-5](#), [VBN-VBN-6](#), [VBN-VBN-7](#), [VBN-8](#), [VBN-9](#), [VBN-VBN-10](#), [VBN-VBN-11](#), [ADT-5](#), [ADT-ADT-6](#) and [CO-5](#) during summer 2022. Genotypes which were found to be [VBN-VBN-6](#), [VBN-10](#) and [VBN-11](#) were resistant and moderately resistant viz., [VBN-4](#), [VBN-5](#), [VBN-VBN-7](#), and [VBN-VBN-8](#) at summer season 2022. Genotypes viz., [VBN-VBN-6](#) & [VBN-VBN-10](#) exhibited resistance. It suggests that utilization of these genotypes as donors for YMV resistance, leads to development of high yielding MYMV resistant varieties through backcross or marker assisted backcross selection by introgression of the genes to agronomically potential genotypes which were susceptible to MYMV.

**Key words:** Screening, Black gram, Mung bean yellow mosaic virus, Resistant, Susceptible.

**Introduction:**

Blackgram is an important legume crop of the family [leguminaceae-Leguminaceae](#) and it is grown mainly in Indian subcontinent. On comparison with other pulse crop, blackgram is highly priced. It is considered as rich source of protein, potassium, iron, calcium, thymine (B1), niacin (B3), riboflavin (B2) and it is nutritious for human diet. It also helps in fixing atmospheric nitrogen to the soil. In India, blackgram cultivation is followed not only in [kharif-Kharif \(Autumn\)](#) season, it can also be grown in Rabi ([Spring](#)) and [summer-Summer](#) seasons.

In Indian sub-continent Yellow Mosaic Disease (YMD) is [caused-infected](#) by two virus species viz., Mung bean Yellow Mosaic India Virus (MYMIV) commonly occurring in northern part of India and Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus (MYMV) confined to southern India

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

[1] (~~Shamim and Pandey, 2014~~). The initial symptoms of the yellow mosaic disease in black gram appear in the forms of irregular yellow patches of various sizes, which coalesce to form larger patches of bright yellow colour [2] (~~Mohan et al., 2014~~). This is accompanied with general stunting of plants. In severe cases almost entire leaves may turn yellow, plants bear few flowers and pods are smaller with immature seeds showing very poor germination [3] ~~+++~~

Formatted: Double strikethrough, Not Highlight

Formatted: Double strikethrough

Formatted: Double strikethrough, Not Highlight

Formatted: Double strikethrough

MYMV in India cannot be transmitted by mechanical means and it can easily be transmitted by whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius, [4] ~~++~~. Due to non-availability of resistant varieties, cultivation of black gram crop, land is diverted to other cereal crops cultivation [5] ~~++~~ and for MYMV management in urdbean production, breeding with the resistant cultivars is effective which also ecofriendly [6] ~~++~~. It is essential to find more number of resistant varieties which performs well at all growing seasons and hence to identify MYMV resistant urdbean cultivars several attempts have been made by researchers [7] ~~+~~.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

In view of the above facts, the present study was targeted to screen the MYMV resistant blackgram varieties under natural field condition

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"


## Materials and methods

The present research work was carried out on the farm of Adhiparasakthi Agricultural College, Kalavai, Ranipet, Tamil Nadu and India. Experimental materials include 12 genotypes of black gram collected from the National Pulse Research Centre, Vamban. The details of genotypes were listed in Table 1. The genotypes were raised in the plat bed method with a spacing of 30 x 10 cm in Randomized Block Design (RBD) under the irrigated condition with two replications. Varieties were taken for screening against MYMV. Infector row method was followed-applied for providing MYMV infection to all the test genotypes. The ~~CO-CO-5~~ urdbean was used as a susceptible check and ~~VBN-VBN-10~~ urdbean was used as a resistant check. Agronomic practices like hand weeding, proper irrigation, fertilizer application, herbicide application were provided for successful growth of the plants. No insecticide was sprayed to ensure natural white-fly population. Scoring was ~~done-carried out~~ after 80% of the plant showed incidence based on 1-9 modified scale All India Coordinated Research Project on MULLARP proposed by Alice and Nadarajan [8] ~~++~~.

Formatted: Highlight

Table 1. Modified MULLARP scale (0-9)

Scales	Description
0	No visible symptoms on leaves
1	Very minute yellow specks on leaves
2	Small yellow specks with restricted spread covering 0.1-5% leaf area of plant
3	Yellow mottling of leaves covering 5.1-10% leaf area of plant
4	Yellow mottling of leaves covering 10.1-15% leaf area of plant
5	Yellow mottling and discolouration of 15.1-30% leaf area of plant
6	Yellow discolouration of 30.1-50% leaf area of plant
7	Pronounced yellow mottling and discolouration of leaves and pods, reduction in leaf size and stunting of plants covering 50.1-75% foliage of plant
8	Severe yellow discolouration of leaves covering 75.1-90% of foliage, stunting of plants and reduction in pod size
9	Severe yellow discolouration of leaves covering above 90.1% of foliage of plants, stunting of plants and no pod formation

The categories used for assessing the resistant genotypes against yellow mosaic virus was given in the following table [5] 

**Table 2. Disease Severity Rating and reaction**

Percent Disease Severity Rating	Rating	Reaction
0.1-5	1 to 2	Resistant
5.1-15	2.1 to 4	Moderately Resistant
15.1-30	4.1 to 5	Moderately Susceptible
30.1-75	5.1 to 7	Susceptible
75.1-100	7.1 to 9	Highly Susceptible

Percentage disease index was calculated by using the formula given by Wheeler [10]

~~Percent Disease Index =  $\frac{\text{Sum of all the numerical ratings}}{\text{Number of observations} \times \text{Maximum disease rating}} \times 100$ . Percentage disease index was calculated by using the formula given by Wheeler [10] [8].~~

Formatted: Double strikethrough

Comment [m1]: Repeated

Formatted: Double strikethrough

Formatted: Double strikethrough

$$\text{Percent disease index (PDI)} = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical rating}}{\text{Total number of leaves observed} \times \text{Maximum grade}} \times 100$$

**Table 3: Screening of black gram genotypes against MYMV**

Genotypes	Rating	Reaction	Number of genotypes
<del>VBN-VBN-6, VBN-10, VBN-11</del>	1 to 2	Resistant	3
VBN-4, <del>VBN-VBN-7,</del> <del>VBN-VBN-8, VBN-5</del>	2.1 to 4	Moderately Resistant	4
VBN-3, <del>VBN-VBN-2</del>	4.1 to 5	Moderately Susceptible	2
<del>ADT-ADT-4, ADT-5</del>	5.1 to 7	Susceptible	2
CO-5	7.1 to 9	Highly Susceptible	1



**Plate 1. Field trials of blackgram genotypes against yellow mosaic disease**

## Result and discussion

The MYMV disease infecting leguminous crops can effectively be controlled by using the resistant varieties. To identify the resistant varieties, the foremost step is screening varieties against the MYMV at field condition with natural infection by *Bemisia tabaci*. Even though several genotypes showing resistance to MYMV have already been screened, lack of durable resistance is observed. Hence, continuous screening is required for identifying resistance source against the MYMV. Evaluation of 12 urdbean genotypes under field conditions against the MYMV was carried out at natural condition on the basis of 0-9 scale and the percent disease incidence was calculated. After every test entry, the presence of most susceptible check CO-5 and good population of white-fly in summer minimizes the chance of disease escape. Genotypes: VBN-6, VBN-10 and VBN-11 were resistant, while VBN-4, VBN-7, VBN-8 were moderately resistant and VBN-5, VBN-3 and VBN-2 were Moderately Susceptible, each of ADT-4 & ADT-5 were Susceptible, CO-5 was Highly Susceptible- CO5 at summer season 2022. The results obtained in the present study were in accordance with the findings of [1, 11, 12, 13, 14], the Ganapathy *et al.* 2003 (9); Pathak and Jhamaria, 2004; Obaiah *et al.*, 2013 (11); Shamim and Pandey, 2014 (13); Gopi *et al.*, 2016 (10) in blackgram.

## Conclusion

On the basis analyzed results it is concluded that genotypes such as VBN-6, VBN-10 and VBN-11 were resistant, and moderately resistant viz., VBN-4, VBN-7, VBN-8, and VBN-5 at summer season 2022. It suggests that utilization of these genotypes as donors for YMV resistance, leads to development of high yielding MYMV resistant varieties through backcross or marker assisted backcross selection by introgression of the genes to agronomically potential genotypes which were susceptible to MYMV.

## References

- [1] ~~13~~ Shamim, M.Z and Pandey, A. 2014. Identification of Yellow Mosaic Virus (YMV) resistant Black Gram (*Vigna mungo* L.) genotypes for cultivation in Northern India. Journal of Agroecology and Natural Resource Management, 1 (2): 48-50.
- [2] ~~14~~ Mohan S, Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of Mung bean Germplasm for Resistance to Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus under Natural Condition. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014; 7(7):891-896
- [3] ~~15~~ Nene YL. A survey of viral diseases of pulse crops in Uttar Pradesh. Research Bulletin-4. 1972; 87:1-191.
- [4] ~~16~~ Zubir M, Bashir M. Identification of resistance in urdbean against two different viral diseases. Pak J Botany. 2002; 34:49-49.
- [5] ~~17~~ Mohan S, Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of Mung bean Germplasm for Resistance to Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus under Natural Condition. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014; 7(7):891-896.
- [6] ~~18~~ Tamilzharasi M, Vanniarajan C, Karthikeyan A, Souframanien J, Arumugam pillai M, Meenakshisundram P. Evaluation of urdbean (*Vigna mungo*) genotypes for mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance through phenotypic reaction and genotypic analysis. Legume Research an International Journal. 2018; 0:1-7.
- [7] ~~19~~ Subedi S, Neupane S, Ghimire TN. 2016. Screening of mung bean and black gram genotypes as sources of genetic resistance against Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Disease. Nepalese Journal of Agricultural Sciences; 14:148- 155.
- [8] ~~20~~ Alice D and Nadarajan N 2007. Screening techniques and assessment methods for disease resistance, Department of Pulses, TNAU. All India Coordinated Research Project on MULLaRP-Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Kasturi Graphics and Printers, Coimbatore-24.
- [9] ~~21~~ Gantiat S, Kantidas P. Genetic divergence, adaptability and genotypic response to YMV in blackgram. Legumes Research. 2009; 32:79-85.
- [10] ~~22~~ Wheeler BEJ. An Introduction to plant disease. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, London, 1969, 9-364.
- [11] ~~23~~ Ganapathy, T., Kuruppiah, R and Gunasekaran, K. 2003. Identifying the source of resistance for mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV), urd bean leaf crinkle virus and leaf curl virus disease in urd bean (*Vignamungo* (L.) Hepper). In Annual Meeting and Symposium on recent Developments in the diagnosis and Management of Plant Diseases for Meeting Global Challenges, December 18-20. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, p.30.
- [12] ~~24~~ Gopi, P., Satyanarayana, A., Krishna, A.R., Rao, K.R.S.S. 2016. Evaluation of Blackgram Germplasm for Resistance against YMV. Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, 7: 368.

Comment [m2]: Not mentioned in the text

- [13] Obaiah, S., Reddy, B.V., Reddy, N.P. and Siva Prasad, Y. 2013. Screening of some blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) genotypes for resistance to yellow mosaic virus. *Current Biotica*, 7(1and2): 96-100.
- [14] Pathak, A.K and Jhamaria, S.L. 2004. Evaluation of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) varieties to yellow mosaic virus. *Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology*, 34: 64-65.

## References

1. Nene YL. A survey of viral diseases of pulse crops in Uttar Pradesh. *Research Bulletin* 1972; 87:1-191.
2. Zubir M, Bashir M. Identification of resistance in urdbean against two different viral diseases. *Pak J Botany*. 2002; 34:49-49.
3. Mohan S, Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of Mung bean Germplasm for Resistance to Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus under Natural Condition. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*. 2014; 7(7):891-896.
4. Tamilzharasi M, Vanniarajan C, Karthikeyan A, Souframanien J, Arumugam pillai M, Meenakshisundram P. Evaluation of urdbean (*Vigna mungo*) genotypes for mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance through phenotypic reaction and genotypic analysis. *Legume Research an International Journal*. 2018; 0:1-7.
5. Subedi S, Neupane S, Ghimire TN. 2016. Screening of mung bean and black gram genotypes as sources of genetic resistance against Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Disease. *Nepalese Journal of Agricultural Sciences*; 14:148-155.
6. Alice D and Nadarajan N 2007. Screening techniques and assessment methods for disease resistance, Department of Pulses, TNAU. All India Coordinated Research Project on MULLaRP Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Kasturi Graphics and Printers, Coimbatore-24.
7. Gantiat S, Kantidas P. Genetic divergence, adaptability and genotypic response to YMV in blackgram. *Legumes Research*. 2009; 32:79-85.
8. Wheeler BEJ. An Introduction to plant disease. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, London, 1969, 9-364.

9. Ganapathy, T., Kurupiah, R and Gunasekaran, K. 2003. Identifying the source of resistance for mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV), urd bean leaf crinkle virus and leaf curl virus disease in urd bean (*Vignamungo (L.) Hepper*). In Annual Meeting and Symposium on recent Developments in the diagnosis and Management of Plant Diseases for Meeting Global Challenges, December 18-20. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, p.30.
10. Gopi, P., Satyanarayana, A., Krishna, A.R., Rao, K.R.S.S. 2016. Evaluation of Blackgram Germplasm for Resistance against YMV. Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, 7: 368.
11. Obaiiah, S., Reddy, B.V., Reddy, N.P. and Siva Prasad, Y. 2013. Screening of some blackgram (*Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper*) genotypes for resistance to yellow mosaic virus. Current Biotica, 7(1and2): 96-100.
12. Pathak, A.K and Jhamaria, S.L. 2004. Evaluation of mungbean (*Vigna radiata L.*) varieties to yellow mosaic virus. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology, 34: 64-65.
13. Shamim, M.Z and Pandey, A. 2014. Identification of Yellow Mosaic Virus (YMV) resistant Black Gram (*Vigna mungo L.*) genotypes for cultivation in Northern India. Journal of Agroecology and Natural Resource Management, 1 (2): 48-50.
14. Mohan S., Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of Mung bean Germplasm for Resistance to Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus under Natural Condition. Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 2014; 7(7):891-896

## References

- [1] 13. Shamim, M.Z and Pandey, A. 2014. Identification of Yellow Mosaic Virus (YMV) resistant Black Gram (*Vigna mungo L.*) genotypes for cultivation in Northern India. Journal of Agroecology and Natural Resource Management, 1 (2): 48-50.

- [2] ~~14~~ Mohan S, Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of Mung bean Germplasm for Resistance to Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus under Natural Condition. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014; 7(7):891-896
- [3] ~~15~~ Nene YL. A survey of viral diseases of pulse crops in Uttar Pradesh. Research Bulletin-4. 1972; 87:1-191.
- [4] ~~16~~ Zubir M, Bashir M. Identification of resistance in urdbean against two different viral diseases. Pak J Botany. 2002; 34:49-49.
- [5] ~~17~~ Mohan S, Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of Mung bean Germplasm for Resistance to Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus under Natural Condition. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014; 7(7):891-896.
- [6] ~~18~~ Tamilzharasi M, Vanniarajan C, Karthikeyan A, Souframanien J, Arumugam pillai M, Meenakshisundram P. Evaluation of urdbean (*Vigna mungo*) genotypes for mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance through phenotypic reaction and genotypic analysis. Legume Research an International Journal. 2018; 0:1-7.
- [7] ~~19~~ Subedi S, Neupane S, Ghimire TN. 2016. Screening of mung bean and black gram genotypes as sources of genetic resistance against Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Disease. Nepalese Journal of Agricultural Sciences; 14: 148- 155.
- [8] ~~20~~ Alice D and Nadarajan N 2007. Screening techniques and assessment methods for disease resistance, Department of Pulses, TNAU. All India Coordinated Research Project on MULLaRP-Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Kasturi Graphics and Printers, Coimbatore-24.
- [9] ~~21~~ Gantiat S, Kantidas P. Genetic divergence, adaptability and genotypic response to YMV in blackgram. Legumes Research. 2009; 32:79-85
- [10] ~~22~~ Wheeler BEJ. An Introduction to plant disease. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, London, 1969, 9-364.
- [11] ~~23~~ Ganapathy, T., Kuruppiyah, R and Gunasekaran, K. 2003. Identifying the source of resistance for mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV), urd bean leaf crinkle virus and leaf curl virus disease in urd bean (*Vignamungo (L.) Hepper*). In Annual Meeting and Symposium on recent Developments in the diagnosis and Management of Plant Diseases for Meeting Global Challenges, December 18-20. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, p.30.
- [12] ~~24~~ Gopi, P., Satyanarayana, A., Krishna, A.R., Rao, K.R.S.S. 2016. Evaluation of Blackgram Germplasm for Resistance against YMV. Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, 7: 368.
- [13] ~~25~~ Obaiah, S., Reddy, B.V., Reddy, N.P. and Siva Prasad, Y. 2013. Screening of some blackgram (*Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper*) genotypes for resistance to yellow mosaic virus. Current Biotica, 7(1and2): 96-100.
- [14] ~~26~~ Pathak, A.K and Jhamaria, S.L. 2004. Evaluation of mungbean (*Vigna radiata L.*) varieties to yellow mosaic virus. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology, 34: 64-65.

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

UNDER PEER REVIEW

