

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93206
Title of the Manuscript:	“Design and Fabrication of Portable Motor-Operated Tiller Machine”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This article provides a good idea and a product which helps the formers. • Introduction need to be elaborated. • Literature survey is compulsorily included with proper citations. Presently it is not at all included in the paper. • Methodology should be explained in an elaborated manner along with detailed description. • Components along with configurations are explained well. • Model is represented in a nice way. • Advantages, Disadvantages, Applications and Future Scope should be in a descriptive way. Not only as points. • Conclusion should be drawn properly and scientifically. • Only 4 references are considered in the paper. Include atleast 15-20 recent references and each reference must be cited properly in the literature survey section. • Overall paper need to be drafted scientifically as per the suggestions given. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Literature survey is compulsorily included with proper citations. Presently it is not at all included in the paper. • Methodology should be explained in an elaborated manner along with detailed description. • Advantages, Disadvantages, Applications and Future Scope should be in a descriptive way. Not only as points. • Conclusion should be drawn properly and scientifically. • Only 4 references are considered in the paper. Include atleast 15-20 recent references and each reference must be cited properly in the literature survey section. • Overall paper need to be drafted scientifically as per the suggestions given. 	
Optional/General comments	Overall paper need to be re-written scientifically as per the standards by incorporating the comments given above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dileep M R
Department, University & Country	Nitte Meenakshi Institute of Technology, India