

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_94607
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical, In-vitro Multienzyme Digestibility and Amino acid of Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L) Moench) Fortified with Soybean (Glycine max) Composite Meal flour
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract should contain: background, objective, methods, results and conclusion, therefore please be revised.2. The keywords should be alphabetically ordered3. Introduction: Before stating the purpose of the study, better mention the lack of study that had been done, to see the gap of research4. Material and method : In this part should be mentioned all the materials used, including the grade and originality of the materials. Also, please mention the type and serial number of the equipment/tool used in the experiments.5. Equation: Please use MS equation to write up the equation and the equation should be cited in the script.6. Results and discussion : The presented data was very good, however for the some table, figure yet be cited, please cite all the figure, table and equation in the script to make the discussion clearly.7. References: The references should be updated, replace the very old reference with the newest journal publish at least 5 years back. Reduce the text book for the references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sabtanti Harimurti
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia