

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_95226
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulation of a Star Network Architecture using VLANs as Technical Supplement for Network Control
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Paper titled "Simulation of a Star Network Architecture using VLANs as Technical Supplement for Network Control" explained that how VLAN technology improves the performance of a Star topology network.</p> <p><b>Yes</b> <b>No It has to be modified. Please make necessary correction to make abstract neat and clear understandable manner.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b> <b>Yes</b> <b>No. Please give some recent papers as reference.</b> <b>Abstract and conclusion part has to modify. Authors need to check and correct the abstract and conclusion part and make necessary correction. It should be comprehensive, neat and clear manner.</b></p> <p>Please do check English used in this paper.</p> <p>Please do check any typographical errors.</p> <p>Use some recent paper for reference section.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No. Please do check English used in this paper. Please do check any typographical errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>D. Hemanand</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>S.A. Engineering College, India</b>