

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_95354
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF MICROBIAL LOAD AND MULTIDRUG-RESISTANT PROFILE OF BACTERIAL FLORA FROM CATTLE IN BAUCHI, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. MDR reveals the current status of antimicrobial agents efficacy against clinical isolates. This aids in the adoption of strategies to prevent further emergence of superbugs</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No. Grammar mistakes specially with the singular and plural errors are frequent	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Table 1. It is not clear with the need of column 2 (Mean number of Colonies) and 4 Percentage (%). Column 3 data mismatches with column 2. Percentage (%) of what data is represented? The data is not relevant to table title Table 2 and 3 are not cited and it is not clear with the text and the data in the table The inhibition zone of each isolate must be given before mentioning as sensitive or resistant. Any one identity is sufficient. 90% resistance implies 10 % is sensitive. So either resistance or sensitive strains representation is sufficient. Table 2 and 3 represent the AST profile of the same isolates but for different antibiotics. Then what is the need for 2 different tables. Instead of representing antibiotics, it is preferable to classify them according to their structure / mechanism of action and group them under the representative class. Then represent the data as a pie chart 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Mala
Department, University & Country	Mepco Schlenk Engineering College, India