

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_93204
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnobotanical Survey, Physiochemical Composition and Preliminary Cytotoxic Evaluation of Some Medicinal Plants with Anticancer Potential from Certain Areas In South-West Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In view of the population rise, inadequate supply of drugs, high cost of treatments, and probable side effects of synthetic drugs, any research which exploits the potential benefits of traditionally used herbs as a medicine has tremendous importance in the field of research. I appreciate the Authors for their similar efforts. The methodology and analysis mentioned in this manuscript are standard and adequate. The study design mentioned in abstract doesn't refer to actual study design but sampling. The role of antioxidants in cancer has been revealed by many research papers. This study tries to establish a connection between the physiochemical composition of medicinal plants and their antioxidant potential which could be the basis of their utilization as anticancer plants. However, the role of antioxidants has not merely been restricted to cancer but to many other diseases. The authors should have thrown light on those aspects of these plants. The authors have not directly tested any extract on tumors hence the assumption of having their potential still remains an assumption. The conclusion is well-written though. This research can form a platform to study these plants and exploit their potential through more in-depth studies.	
Minor REVISION comments	Few grammatical mistakes can be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjay Swami
Department, University & Country	Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Topiwala National Medical College, India