

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARRB_93204</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ethnobotanical Survey, Physiochemical Composition and Preliminary Cytotoxic Evaluation of Some Medicinal Plants with Anticancer Potential from Certain Areas In South-West Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Deep revision on English language level should be required. Check spelling mistakes if any. In introduction section brief introduction with various comparative methods and plants should be covered if possible provide table of comparison or flowchart or diagram.</li><li>2. In <u>EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS</u> section, correct the numbering to sub-sections such as <b>2.3, 2.3.1....and so on.</b></li><li>3. In <u>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u> section - Provide proper captions and numbering to figure such as <b>(Plate 1. Detection of quercetin Plate 2. Detection of antiradical agents)</b></li><li>4. In <u>results and discussion</u> section only Tables are given but brief explanation and procedure for analysis is required.</li><li>5. The conclusion section should be ample to comprehend the information for future researchers and society.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kalpesh Anil Isai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>R. C. Patel Institute of Technology, India</b>