

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRID_95361</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>C-REACTIVE PROTEIN AS AN INDEX OF EARLY DIAGNOSIS OF NEONATAL SEPSIS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Required REVIEW Comments</b></p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) R: I consider it important for the scientific community given the research topic, referred to quickly diagnosing disease in children. The bibliography consulted for this article is 10 years late. The most recent and from 2012 (4) items. There are multiple studies conducted more recently on the internet.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article adequate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title) R: The title is appropriate for the study carried out.</p> <p>3. Is the article summary comprehensive? A: The abstract is correctly typed according to the journal's guidelines.</p> <p>4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? A: THE ARTICLE HAS BEEN DIVIDED INTO SECTIONS AND SUB- SECTIONS WHICH MAKES IT EASIER TO STUDY AND UNDERSTAND.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? A: ACCORDING TO THE STUDY CARRIED OUT, THE MANUSCRIPT IS SCIENTIFICALLY CORRECT. ONLY THE BIBLIOGRAPHY USED BY THE AUTHORS SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, WHICH IS OUT OF 10 YEARS FROM THE CURRENT MOMENT.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them on the review form. R: THE BIBLIOGRAPHY USED IN NUMBER P MAY BE SUFFICIENT FOR A SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE. THEY ARE NOT RECENT AND ARE 10 YEARS OUT OF THE CURRENT MOMENT. ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE ARE MULTIPLE WORKS CARRIED OUT ON THIS SUBJECT OF C-REACTIVE PROTEIN FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF DISEASES IN CHILDREN. A SMALL PART OF THE ARTICLES LOCATED ON GOOGLE, ABOUT THE TOPIC UNDER STUDY, WAS ADDED. (In addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) COMMENT: This article was typed respecting the guidelines of the journal and correctly presented in all its items: summary, introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion and conclusion, bibliographical references. According to the topic under study it would be of great scientific importance if there was no delay in the bibliography selected by the authors for this work. I believe that the bibliography of this article should be revised and updated with new, more recent and updated bibliographies.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I consider that the english language used is suitable for this scientific communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Havana University, Cuba</b>