

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_89569
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined Exponential-Type Estimators for Finite Population Mean in Two-Phase Sampling
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research is important to science and society	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It is not clear what is wrong with the existing estimators that is being solved using the exponential-type estimators2. The key findings are missing in the abstract. That is, they are captured in a theoretical manner instead of a mathematical manner. For instance; exponential-type estimators are found to be better than the existing estimators but based on what performance comparison metrics?? This need to be very clear from the abstract and also in the body of the research	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Kinyua Gachoki
Department, University & Country	Kirinyaga University, Kenya