

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_94627
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of spelling Errors of Grade Three Students of English in Gedu Higher Secondary School.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Typographic mistakes 2. Syntactic errors 3. Semantic errors 4. Vocabulary selection 5. Poor language in some spots. 6. Questions and objectives of the study	1. There are many typographical errors that you need to track and correct. 2. There are many grammatical errors particular in places where your own language is used. 3. The author needs to disambiguate many sentences by paraphrasing. 4. Some selected words have more appropriate synonyms that fit to the context. 5. I advise the author to let a native-like speaker to proofread it since it has many errors and mistakes. 6. You need to add another question.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Fragments 2. Run on sentences 3. In appropriate linking words 4. Sections of the study	1. There are some fragments and run-ons that the author need consider. 2. Some additional linking words should be added where necessary while other should be substituted for more appropriate ones. 3. The author used the words (in this chapter) in other spots he mentioned chapter five????????????????????????????????	
Optional/General comments 1. Limitations of the study 2. Adding (to the best of the researchers knowledge) we you raise a claim. 3. Discussing results by questions	1. Add locative, conceptual, temporal and human limitations. 2. When you claim that this study has never been conducted somewhere, you have to add this phrase (to the best of the researchers' knowledge)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Itmeizeh
Department, University & Country	Palestine Ahliya University, Palestine