

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_88466
Title of the Manuscript:	STREET LEVEL BUREAUCRACY AND INSTITUTIONAL ALIENATION: IMPLEMENTATION OF NATIONAL POLICY ON EDUCATION FOR ALL (2000-2015) A CASE STUDY IN PHNOM PENH CAMBODIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Title is too long. Researcher is suggested to reduce to word count in title to become less than 15 words Where are the objectives of this study? Research questions are not match with your hypothesis. Where are your research question a, b, and c in your hypothesis? And where are your hypothesis in your research questions? Since this is a mixed method study, would the objectives for the qualitative and quantitative be difference? Is the background of participants similar for the qualitative and quantitative? What is the reliability and validity for that researcher used for the secondary data? (section methodology page 8, line 19). It is unclear Researcher should create a new table rather than copy paste from SPSS table output Wondering what is the analysis for since it is not answering your research questions and hypothesis I would suggest the way of reporting quantitative data using SPSS analysis as follow: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What type of analysis in SPSS (e.g. correlation/regression etc) used to measure what ____ (e.g. impact of IV on DV or relationship between IV and DV) Report the result with the important values from the SPSS output Interprete the SPSS result i.e. if significant or not significant what does that mean Then shows whether you accept or reject your hypothesis In conclusion and discussion section, should have no SPSS output anymore. In fact, researcher should discuss the pros and cons between the current study and previous studies The LRs should be brought to the discussion part to be discuss with the current findings Wondering where is the output from the qualitative data since this research a mixed method study? Some references are incomplete for example reference 1 until 4. Significant and contribution of study are missing. It is important to show the novelty of study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incorrect used symbol in page 2 for "(d) To take care of mother,s health;..." Citations are quite old, even the statistics provided was in 2013/2014 (page 3). Recent studies are needed to show how serious this issue now Researcher should have a sub-topic for discussion where will discuss the similarities and differences of the current study and previous studies. It seems like the researcher still continue with literature reviews after the result 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Standardize the weightage of the paragraph <p>Researcher should follow the guidelines of this journal as well as making amendment according to the comments</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asong Joseph
Department, University & Country	International University of Malays, Malaysia