

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_95406
Title of the Manuscript:	Two Cases of Anophthalmia and Quality Of Life
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) Abstract: good.</p> <p>2) Introduction: good. Uniform the writing of "SOX2".</p> <p>3) Case 1. Correct the punctuation in the first line.</p> <p>4) Discussion</p> <p>The classifications for anophthalmia needs reference.</p> <p>I suggest including references to "Ultrassounds", "Amniocentesis" and "Associative Conditions" too.</p> <p>At the end of the discussion, the author could mention the need for health professionals to be prepared to deal with anophthalmia, as can be seen in the abstract of this article, which is in English. https://www.scielo.br/j/rbof/a/nxCHp6dbWjHW6cxvTTMpMSb/?lang=pt</p> <p>5) Referencies</p> <p>The inclusion of the suggested reference in the discussion will contribute to broaden the message of the scientific work.</p> <p>Complete de reference 10.</p> <p>6) Figures</p> <p>I did not find the figures mentioned in the text. Please review this.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elcio Luiz Bonamigo
Department, University & Country	University in Joaçaba, Brazil