

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJBGE_95162 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Germinated Soybean Flour Supplementation on the Physico-Chemical, Functional and Sensory properties of Instant Kunun Gyada powder |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes it is important for scientific community as the subject is readily consumed by the community</p> <p>2. Yes, only that the words '<i>Kunun Gyada</i>' should be replaced with an English words thereafter, <i>Kunun Gyada</i> should be written in bracket</p> <p>3. Yes, except with minor suggestions and should be corrected</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes they are sufficient and recent except for few that were old and suggest to update them</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. Yes it is suitable</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The manuscript is worth publishing as it was scientifically presented and the content is clear and understandable except some suggestions of which should be followed in order to make the manuscript better. You need to elaborate more on the discussion as suggested in the manuscript. The ratio used should be addressed on why and how you arrived at such. In your introduction, there is consistent repetition of: Nkama <i>et al.</i>, 1995 as it is an old reference therefore should be updated and provide more references to avoid repetition.</p> | |

PART 2:

Review Form 1.7

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Nafiu Muhammad Ibrahim |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University Gusau, Nigeria |