

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_94081
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge level of Litchi growers regarding Integrated Pest Management strategies followed by the litchi growers of Punjab.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The title seems to be not looking scientific. You may consider changing the title to "Knowledge level of Punjab Litchi growers about Integrated Pest Management strategies" In the beginning of the abstract, you should write a brief background (1-2 lines) and the research gap followed by the aim of your study. Introduction is short however, the literature reviewed is very less. You should add more relevant literature to make the study and research gap clearer- as why you have taken up this study??? Why have you taken only 100 respondents?? Any justification regarding the sample size?? Sentences are not correct grammatically and at many places do not bring out any meaning- E.g.: in construction of knowledge test: In all 13 questions about recommended varieties of Litchi, recommended methods for adoption of IPM strategies- What is the meaning of this line?? Please write it properly. Discussion part is not written properly. Please write the discussion part by comparing the results of your study with similar studies in the past. Conclusion should include policy implications and recommendations of the study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please do all the changes as stated above. You may consider using the Grammarly software to remove all the grammatical errors in your text as a lot of errors are present.	
Optional/General comments	Good effort	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suvangi Rath
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Agriculture & Technology, India