

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_94079
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on knowledge level of farmers regarding paddy straw management in Punjab
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title of the article has to be changed as: A research on farmers' knowledge of paddy straw management in Punjab</p> <p>I have totally rewritten the abstract. Using this as one of the models, the author could learn how to write the abstract in academic language. I suggest the author to follow this abstract. Punjab is a predominantly agricultural state that provides the most food grains to the national supply. Indian agriculture is based on small and marginal farmers. The majority of holdings are medium-sized, and as the land becomes more fragmented, the number of such holdings is rising. Therefore, the objective of the current study was to examine the respondents' socio-economic characteristics. Data were gathered in the Punjabi districts of Pathankot and Gurdaspur. From each district, two blocks were chosen. 25 respondents were randomly chosen from a total of four blocks, resulting in a sample size of 100 respondents. The majority of responders, it was discovered, fall into the group of senior citizens. Youth are migrating abroad and are becoming less engaged with agriculture. A significant proportion of respondents (49%) had completed schooling up to the matric level, and the majority of respondents were from nuclear families. Farmers in the state were found to be not adhering to suggested practises and resource use levels, while having good farming experience and education levels. The operational landholding of the respondents was categorised as Small, Semi-medium, Medium, and Large, with the majority of respondents having medium landholding, i.e. 4-10 acre of land. According to the findings, the respondents who received more training and had more extension contacts had a high degree of understanding and knowledge about paddy straw management.</p> <p>The author has to present the problem statement in the study. Review of literature is something fundamental for the research. There must be a separate heading as the review of literature which the author has failed to do. Kindly include this in the manuscript. It is better to frame hypothesis and then the author has to explain the findings in a justified manner. Based on the findings, the author has to present the recommendation which is totally missing in the article. Kindly include it. The author has to do the major revision.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The author has brought the discussion in the analysis itself, but it is better to be separated as discussion. There are few references which do not follow APA 7th edition. Kindly update it.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Generally, the manuscript is written well. I appreciate the author for having done fairly good analysis with statistical methods. As a reviewer, i could easily capture the information of this article from the Introduction which is well presented. The author is asked to do the major revision in the article and then resubmit it.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. John Kaviarasu
Department, University & Country	Loyola College, India