

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89605
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF MICROCREDIT ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF BENEFICIARIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No references cited in text in the introduction Introduction content was found repetitive and needed a bit depth By the end of the introduction you need to explain 'what is your purpose of the study' which is missing in the article. Methodology didn't speak about how the impact of micro credit is measured – scale details, continuum, level of measurement, statistical tools used for analysis. Didn't mention the null and alternate hypothesis in methodology but used in the table showing accepted and rejected – What is the hypothesis? Table values – all are given asterisk – Clarity not found Many results are stated in R&D with missing connections – Justify with your objectives of the study Conclusion – in appropriate 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corrections in references 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mentioning the research design at the start of the methodology would be appropriate 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. V. S. S. Anusha
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India