



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Soil Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_59411
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Geochemical Quantification and Appraisal of three different Genetically derived Lateritic Soils: Implications on Engineering Applications.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments Table 1: Major Oxides % Compositions and the average of each Profile constituent Page 8: Laterization: It shows degree of laterization is highest in the GDS (0.68) and lowest in GNS (1.66)	<b>The figures indicated at the bottom of the table 1 is total sum of the constituents, hence it cant be average, it must be total. Lateritization in GDS is 0.68 while in GNS it is 1.66, so how it can be highest in GDS and lowest in GNS? Need to be checked by the author.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments Terms: clayeyness Term: laterization	Author must use scientific / standard term, as in the manuscript different terms, such as: Clayeyness, clayeness and clayeyness are used at different places. Author has used term: laterization, while in geology the term lateritization is commonly used, when laterite is being fereffed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	To maintain the flaw less reading of the technical paper, restructuring of some of the sentences are recommended, though at a few places, some corrections have been indicated in RED colour  A few doubt full words have been highlighted with yellow colour.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R K Upadhyay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Zimbabwe</b>