

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_109187</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>FURTHER RESULTS ON UNICITY OF <math>q</math>- SHIFT DIFFERENCE DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Pros of the Work:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper delves into the Value Distribution Theory of Nevanlinna, a century-old and fundamental theory in complex analysis. It showcases a profound understanding of this theory and its applications, demonstrating the authors' expertise in the field.</li> <li>2. The introduction provides well-structured definitions of key concepts and terms, making it easier for readers to grasp the technical aspects of the research. These definitions create a strong foundation for the subsequent discussion.</li> <li>3. The paper highlights the relevance of the research by emphasizing how Nevanlinna theory can be applied to investigate analytic solutions of complex differential equations. This underscores the broader implications of the work in the field of complex analysis and differential equations.</li> </ol> <p>Cons of the Work:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper's content is highly specialized and filled with mathematical notation and terminology. While this is expected in advanced mathematical research, it limits the accessibility of the paper to a broader audience. The authors should consider providing more context or explanations to aid non-experts in understanding the work.</li> <li>2. The paper focuses heavily on theoretical aspects and definitions. It would benefit from practical examples or case studies that demonstrate how the theory can be applied to real-world problems. This would enhance the paper's practical relevance.</li> <li>3. writing style in the introduction can be quite dense and may require multiple readings for full comprehension. The authors could improve the clarity of their explanations and make the content more reader-friendly.</li> <li>4. The introduction lacks visual aids, such as diagrams or graphs, which could help illustrate the concepts and make the paper more engaging and understandable.</li> </ol> <p>In summary, the paper demonstrates a strong theoretical foundation and understanding of Nevanlinna theory. However, it could benefit from improved accessibility, practical examples, clearer presentation, and the inclusion of visual aids to enhance its overall quality and appeal to a wider audience.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Youssri Hassan Youssri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cairo University, Egypt</b>