

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_108986
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Psycho-Demographic Factors on Sexual Dysfunction among People Living with Disabilities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The article addresses an important theme, especially for patients but also for medical personnel. The article is well written, coherent, and methodologically correct. I recommend publication with minor revisions.</p> <p>The recommendations for improvement are the following: Some terms used are no longer up-to-date and can cause ethical controversies. For example, deaf/dumb: The terms "deaf" and "dumb" have historically been used to refer to individuals with hearing impairments, but they are now considered outdated and offensive. It's important to use more respectful and accurate language when discussing individuals with hearing impairments. You can use patients/persons with hearing impairment or deaf or hard of hearing throughout the article. Instead of the term old, I recommend using elderly, senior or mature throughout the article.</p> <p>Some statements must be revised because they are defamatory and are not supported by current bibliographic references. For example, 'The common perception is that people with disability issues are viewed in terms of charity and welfare only'. Even if this is the case in the country of the study, where should there be this report and the studies that support the statement.</p> <p>The participants' description is too succinct. Table 1, 'Table I: Influence of demographic factors on sexual dysfunction' should be moved to the participants section and renamed Table I: Participants' demographic characteristics. The table does not show a correlation that would justify the word influence in the title.</p> <p>It is not specified whether the scale used is proposed by the authors or has taken over items or factors from other reknown scales. Considering that it also measures psychological constructs such as self-esteem or self-efficacy, either standardized scales are used, or the psychometric properties are statistically demonstrated.</p> <p>In the methodology and results section, please specify the method and the statistical calculations performed in order to understand the correctness of the calculations and the approach.</p> <p>The value of the study would increase if some comparisons were made with the results of other studies in the discussion part. Thus the number of bibliographic references would increase, because it is very small now and very old, the newest being from 2007. Please revise.</p> <p>In discussions or conclusions, it would be good to introduce recommendations for medical practice, derived from the results obtained.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anca Luștrea
Department, University & Country	West University of Timisoara, Romania