

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109833
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimates of Contribution, Claustration and principal component analysis in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The paper under the title of 'Estimates of Contribution, Claustration and principal component analysis in brinjal'</p> <p>Suggested topic is 'Estimates of Contribution, Claustration and principal component analysis in different genotypes of brinjal'.</p> <p>Estimations of analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is not specified in the abstract and conclusion/ recommendation should be added as the last sentence of the abstract.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Insufficient amount of references are there, and no references after 2020.</p> <p>Statistical analysis is clearly explained but it is not clearly discussed. Further, conclusion is insufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some grammar and spelling mistakes, please correct them accordingly.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The way of presenting methodology and the results sections is satisfied. Objectives, discussion and conclusion should be re-written. Comments were added in the manuscript and author will be able to see them by opening track changes.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Navodi Mekhala Hakmanage
Department, University & Country	University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka