

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109736
Title of the Manuscript:	Deciphering trait association and their effect on yield, yield attributing and quality traits in barnyard millet [<i>Echinochloa frumentacea</i> (Roxb.) Link]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research study highlights essential insights into the effect of specific agronomical traits on the yield of barnyard millet yield. I found the article intriguing and promising giving the stimulus for further research. 2. The title of the research article is concise. 3. The abstract is concise highlighting the most important points of the research study. 4. The article is organized effectively, featuring the relevant sections and subsections. 5. In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically accurate. 6. The number of references is sufficient. Furthermore, I suggest including more recent citations. <p>I recommend incorporating additional comments regarding your findings in the results and discussion section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The manuscript is well-written using the English language appropriately. I suggest reviewing the text to rectify some grammatical and syntax errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthoula Gleridou
Department, University & Country	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece