

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109859
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review on Persimmon (Diospyros spp.): Botanical, Horticultural, and Health Perspectives
Type of the Article	Review (scooping literature review)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>It is suitable; however, the aspect of the health perspectives did not come out strong in the paper except in the introduction where the authors mentioned the nutritional value and antioxidants found in the fruit. The abstract may be improved by adding a clear problem statement and gap that undergirds the paper</p> <p>Yes, they are. I suggest that the authors add the aspect of the health perspectives. For instance, studies that show the beneficial effects of consumption of the fruit As it is; I am wondering if this information could not be found anywhere else. The novelty is not coming out well, probably because the gap has not been well-identified</p> <p>The references are adequate and I think the nature of the article makes me understand why some of the references are old.</p> <p>It has already been captured</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I strongly suggest that the authors outline a problem statement and gap that informed them taking the initiative to write the review</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakhile Khetsiwe Salome Masuku
Department, University & Country	University of Eswatini, Eswatini