

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_109577
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of data fluctuations that lead to cyber security attacks: A comparison between surface, deep and dark net
Type of the Article	REVIEW

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, in this research, we offer brand new machine learning classifiers that go by the name stacking ensemble learning. Their purpose is to evaluate and categorize darknet traffic.</p> <p>The word "comparison" is repeated twice in the title, which may be reframed</p> <p>No, abstract looks like a research article involving proposed methodology and experimental results. But the structure of the paper looks like a review article.</p> <p>Yes, but the abstract mentions about machine learning classifiers, CIC-Darknet 2020 database and performance metrics such as precision and accuracy. But none of the subsections are highlighting these contents.</p> <p>As a review article which compares only the surface, deep and dark net, it looks correct. In this case, the abstract of the article needs to be changed accordingly.</p> <p>No it is not sufficient. Only 4 references are mentioned. Minimum of 15 recent references needs to be cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. M.Tharsanee
Department, University & Country	Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, India