

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_108078
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of recycling between waste of thermoplastics (LDPE, HDPE and PET) raw and granules mixed with sand for the manufacture of paving stones in the city of Kinshasa in DRC.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>This research aims to identify the most advantageous process for employing thermoplastic waste in paving stone production The manuscript is very essential for scientific community and is well written</p> <p><b>Suggested title</b> Comparative study of recycling between waste of granules and raw thermoplastics (LDPE, HDPE and PET) mixed with sand for the manufacture of paving stones in the city of Kinshasa in DRC.</p> <p>The methodology part of the abstract does not clearly state what was done. It should be well explained, but in brief</p> <p>It is appropriate</p> <p>Scientifically, the manuscript is correct</p> <p>The references used are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This is a very good study</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olivia Adu-Poku</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana</b>