

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_108492
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Neuroendocrine Tumor of the Bladder: A Rare Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes it is. The case is interesting and important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is.</p> <p>3. No, it isn't. After checking the MS Word paper template on the Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery website, it is stated that the abstract for a case report should include Aims, Presentation of Case, Discussion, and Conclusion with a maximum word limit of 250 words. The abstract in this manuscript can be further improved, please adjust it to adhere to the provided format.</p> <p>4. No, they aren't. I think the diagnostic challenges, surgical intervention, post-operative management, and outcome are part of case presentation. Don't make them as a subsections. As far as I know, based on MS Word paper template on the Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery website, the subsections in case report include Abstract, Introduction, Presentation of Case, Discussion, Conclusion, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable), and References plus figures and/or tables.</p> <p>5. Yes ,I do</p> <p>6. I think the references can still be improved</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. I think the English quality of this manuscript can still be improved</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I think the introduction section can still be improved. The introduction section is still very brief and does not adequately depict the background of this manuscript. Despite the word limit constraints in a case report, the introduction can still be further improved. In the file I received, there are no references included in the introduction.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ikhwan Rinaldi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia</b>