

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_109915
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of the Characteristics of the Board of Directors of Commercial Banks on the Risk-Taking Level
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>REVIEW COMMENTS</p> <p>TITLE The study title is clear and reflects the study quite well.</p> <p>ABSTRACT The study is well summarized by the abstract. However, the independent variables are not quite clear. The measures for the study variables and research methodology should be clearly outline before introducing the findings.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION This area can be improved through contextualization of the research variables. The section also lacks sufficient citations. Statistical evidence should be included to justify the problem.</p> <p>LITERATURE REVIEW This area is well detailed on the empirical review; however, it can be improved by introducing the theoretical review in which the study was anchored on.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY The coverage of the development of the methodology is thoughtful. Nevertheless, introduction of diagnostic test conducted can improve the section.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION I find this chapter comprehensive and adequate. The results are well presented and interpreted.</p> <p>CONCLUSION The section provides the summary of findings, conclusions and recommendations. The section is adequately done.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jane Mwangi
Department, University & Country	School of Business, Kenyatta University, Kenya