

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110237
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of foliar application of nutrients on economics of Black gram (Vigna mungo L.)
Type of the Article	Research article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it is.</b> This manuscript is important to the scientific community and provides information about the advantages of using foliar fertilizer economically</p> <p><b>The title of the article is suitable</b></p> <p>The abstract presented is not comprehensive, because it does not describe the research as a whole (comments are in the manuscript)</p> <p><b>Manuscript structure and subsections are appropriate</b></p> <p>This manuscript is scientifically correct, but needs to be revised according to the comments in the manuscript</p> <p>In the background it is necessary to add references to research results that have succeeded in using foliar fertilizer to increase food crop production at low cost.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	-	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Needs improvement in the conclusion section, adjusting it to the research objectives	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zulfa Zakiah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tanjungpura University Pontianak, Indonesia</b>