

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110237
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of foliar application of nutrients on economics of Black gram (Vigna mungo L.)
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. It consists of experiment location and result. It will be better if you state the problem or objective of your research work and how the observed result aids in solving the stated issue. Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It is not sufficient. It contain no references after 2018 except 1,2,3.</p> <p>Under methodology, experimental design and the replication were not detailed. The variety, duration used in the experimental investigation is not mentioned.</p> <p>In result and discussion, it was stated that the growth and yield of black gram is due to continuous supply of nutrients for all parameters. Details on how nutrient improves the physiological functions which resulted in increased yield production can be added to the manuscript.</p> <p>In introduction as well as conclusion, you have mentioned energy starved condition. Whether you are relating with nutrients or anything else.</p> <p>In result and discussion fig1 is mentioned which is not relevant to the discussion. Verify the figure and its relation.</p> <p>In Table 1 Title, grain yield, haulm yield, biological yield and harvest index were mentioned whereas within the table, harvest index is missing and it was not discussed as well.</p> <p>In abstract as well as conclusion, Treatments with highest and lowest yield was reported. Percentage of increase in yield in comparison to other treatments will highlight the best treatment.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. But there are minor spelling and grammatical errors which can be corrected to improve the quality of your manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the research work is a good effort. But the writing part has to be improved for your publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Suganthi
Department, University & Country	JSA College of Agriculture and Technology, TNAU, India