

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110235
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of foliar application of boron, zinc and manganese on dry matter accumulation, total tuber yield and economics of potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>) cv. Kufri Chipsona – 1 under Gwalior climatic conditions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important to scientific community because it gave the information about regarding the application of boron, zinc and manganese can increase yields and is economically feasible. 2. The alternative title to be considered is “Effect of foliar application of boron, zinc and manganese on dry matter accumulation, total tuber yield and economic feasibility of potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>) cv. Kufri Chipsona – 1 under Gwalior climatic conditions 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive 4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate (Introduction, methods, results and discussion, conclusion) 5. The conclusion should be explained more clearly and answered the aims of the study 6. The references that is used in introduction is too poor. Please add some references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Please kindly check the English grammar and use proofreading	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The analysis data table does not include a significance index for the treatments. Please include the significance index - The references used still mostly use publications below 2013. Please use references from publications above 2013. - In the text the inclusion of references is still manual. Please use the Mendeley application 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ir. Tety Suciaty
Department, University & Country	University of Swadaya Gunung Jati, Indonesia