

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110196
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect on Vegetative Growth and Economic Feasibility of Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) cv. Kufri Bahar through Foliar Spray of Bulk CCC & Nano CCC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The MS describe the findings of effect of CCC application in Nano and Bulk form; while material and methods as well as results and discussion section is not sufficient to be published as complete MS. Hen MS need severe rigorous major revision for its acceptance. The section wise comments were mentioned below:</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write the location of experiment; • Avoid lengthy sentences (1st sentence of abstract); • Spell out abbreviations at first place and then use them (CCC need to be spelled out). • Write results in quantifiable terms (Such as percent increase in studied parameters; • Write concluding statements. <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Optimum</p> <p>Introduction: Optimum</p> <p>Material and methods: Incomplete;</p> <p>Information on following aspects were lacking:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Information on soil and climatic condition of selected location is lacking; 2) Information on weather condition prevailing is also not given; 3) Information on crop management practices also need to be write herewith in short; 4) Procedure followed and references for the same need to be written; 5) Information in statistical procedure followed for data analysis is not mentioned. <p>Results and discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write significant findings • Information on table 2 need to express in more elaborative form; • Discussion for both table is not sufficient and need to be strengthened for both table 1 and 2; • Explanation should be given for both years than pooled data to be it more meaningful and explanatory. • Year to year, among concentration and among treatment chemicals variation and reasons for the same supported with reference will a one way to strengthened result and discussion. • If possible than analysis the data in table 2 for statistical analysis. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	-	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit A. Shahane
Department, University & Country	Central Agricultural University, India