

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_108931 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | 2-YEAR OUTCOME OF LAPAROSCOPIC SLEEVE GASTRECTOMY IN OBESE PATIENTS IN A GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL WITH REVIEW OF LITERATURE |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Title: 1. the title should be two years Clinical outcomes (since it is linked to clinical resolution of comorbidities).</p> <p>2. (2) should be replace by Two in the title and(year) should be (years).</p> <p>3. The title is in lack of time place and methodology sense.</p> <p>4. The author needs to highlight why out of 4 bariatric surgery approaches, they only focus on this specific approach.</p> <p>5. In 5th abstract and in the article, the author needs to mention the study objectives clearly.</p> <p>6. The study mainly focus on two years outcome, yet there is high relapsing rate after two years, please highlight this area.</p> <p>7. In the text the anther mention prevalence of diabetes is 62 million case, it is better to mention it in % or rate beside the absolute number.</p> <p>8. The author elaborate more on surgery technique, which needs to be appreciated as it has no relevancy to the study objective.</p> <p>9. The author needs to elaborate more on postoperative management, nutrition and life style better than operation techniques.</p> <p>10. Operational definition of variables are requested in relation to obesity classes and reference of similar classification should be acknowledged.</p> <p>11. The author needs to discuss the partial resolution and non-resolution cases and give more explanation and comparison of this group.</p> <p>12. Study design should be acknowledged properly, and data collection procedure needs more elaboration.</p> <p>13. Study limitations and restrictions needs to be acknowledged.</p> <p>14. Policy implications should be communicated.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name: | Hamid Yahya Hussain |
| Department, University & Country | United Arab Emirates |