

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109877
Title of the Manuscript:	Advancements in Agronomic Practices for Sustainable Crop Production-A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community.</p> <p>The article's title is good (9 words).</p> <p>The abstract is good (282 words)—maximum abstract of 250 words. At a maximum abstract of 250 words, it is recommended to simplify it. Keywords are good (6 words). For keywords with a maximum of 5 words, it is recommended that the 5 most important words be selected.</p> <p>The article's structure is reasonable. The title and introduction are good. The abstract is recommended to simplify it. Keywords are recommended that the 5 most important words be selected. In the sub-review, the discussion is good. One example of Sustainable Crop Production from a developing country is recommended. In conclusion, it is recommended that recommendations from the review results be added.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are good. Sufficient and up-to-date references and recommended that reference citations use Mendeley IEEE or APA and a maximum of 20 years of reference.</p> <p>It is suggested to add references, as an example:</p> <p>Zhao, Junfang, Dongsheng Liu, and Ruixi Huang. "A Review of Climate-Smart Agriculture: Recent Advancements, Challenges, and Future Directions." <i>Sustainability</i> 15.4 (2023): 3404.</p> <p>Ali, A., Hussain, T., Tantashutikun, N., Hussain, N., & Cocetta, G. (2023). Application of Smart Techniques, Internet of Things, and Data Mining for Resource Use Efficient and Sustainable Crop Production. <i>Agriculture</i>, 13(2), 397.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is Accepted but needs minor Revision.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Noerhartati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia