

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109713
Title of the Manuscript:	SPEED BREEDING- AN EMERGING TREND IN CROP IMPROVEMENT
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, the article has the potential to contribute significantly to the scientific community. The manuscript makes a significant contribution by addressing not only the potential benefits of rapid breeding, but also the practical considerations and challenges that may arise during its implementation, making it valuable to the scientific community involved in agricultural research and genetic improvement.</p> <p>2- The title presented for the manuscript can be considered appropriate.</p> <p>3- Although the summary presents the main points of the content, there is a lack of an explicit presentation of the research objective. Including a clear statement about the purpose of the investigation in the abstract would be valuable in providing readers with a more accurate and targeted understanding of the scope of the study.</p> <p>4- The subsections and structure of the manuscript can be considered adequate and coherent.</p> <p>5- I consider the manuscript to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- No. I suggest including more citations in the work to provide additional examples and reinforce the arguments presented. Additionally, it is important to consider updating some citations, as some of them are more than ten years old. The incorporation of more recent references would contribute to the validity and current relevance of the work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article summary lacks a clear presentation of its objectives. It would benefit the reader if the research objectives or specific purposes of the study were properly outlined. This would help establish a solid foundation for understanding the direction and importance of the work, providing a clearer view of the context and value of the study presented.</p> <p>Update citation.</p> <p>OPPORTUNITIES OF SPEED BREEDING TECHNIQUES: It would be interesting to add a topic on the current panorama of rapid improvement. Showing which cultures it has been most used in, which countries have been successful in using the technique. Comparison of technologies used over the years.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rayane Aguiar Alves
Department, University & Country	Santa Cruz State University, Brazil