

SPEED BREEDING- AN EMERGING TREND IN CROP IMPROVEMENT

ABSTRACT:

During the past century, traditional breeding programs resulted in several significantly better types in a variety of unique breeding programs around the world. The plant develops slowly because of the lengthy breeding cycle, which can take ten to fifteen years from the cross to cultivar release. That stated it is quite difficult to combine multiple polygenic traits using traditional breeding methods. Speed breeding is a technique that reduces the length of the breeding cycle by lengthening the photoperiod and adjusting other glasshouse growth factors like temperature, soil type, spacing, etc. Rapid generational advancement is made possible by this strategy. Speed breeding yields 3 to 9 generations annually as opposed to 1 to 2 generations annually using standard selection processes. Speed breeding, thus, permits therapid generation of stable and homozygous genotypes.

KEYWORDS: Speed breeding, Conventional breeding, Controlled conditions, Photoperiod, Generational advancement

INTRODUCTION:

In crop breeding, the traditional method involves choosing parental genotypes that complement each other and have the desired features. subsequently, crossings, selections, and the development of superior progenies are required to produce candidate cultivars that satisfy market expectations. (Shimelis& Laing, 2012). Notable breeding objectives in crop cultivar improvement programs include higher production potential, enhanced nutritional quality, and increased tolerance to biotic and abiotic challenges.(Bresghello& Coelho, 2013; Tester & Langridge, 2010).In any crop development program, the following breeding procedure can be identified in the following order: The process involves selecting desirable parents whose traits complement each other, crossing the selected parents and watching how the progeny develop, selecting and genetically advancing the best progeny based on target traits, and selecting the best progeny for screening in multiple target traits. (Shimelis& Laing, 2012).

The majority of agricultural cultivar development initiatives make use of these conventional breeding methods. However, it can take conventional breeding procedures more than ten years to create and release an improved variety in the absence of an integrated pre-breeding effort. (Ahmar *et.al.*, 2020; De La Fuente *et.al.*, 2013).A large number of variety design programs focus on time, space, and resources mostly on the breeding procedure's evaluation and parent selection. The amount of time spent in these phases considerably reduces the rate at which cultivars become economically feasible. In conventional breeding, the rate of advancement of each generation is modest, and selecting procedures in the field takes an entire growing season. A single breeding generation yields a range of crops, including cassava, in 15 to 18 months. There can only be one agricultural cycle per year due to variable meteorological circumstances like high temperatures, erratic rainfall patterns, and extended days. It is also possible to produce two generations annually in certain tropical crop-producing environments.(Laux *et.al.*, 2010).

By modifying the environmental conditions in which crop genotypes are produced, speed breeding refers to a broad range of techniques aimed at advancing crop genotypes to the next breeding generation as quickly as possible by speeding up seed development and flowering. Rapid generation progress reduces costs and the length of the breeding cycle. A few selection techniques

that can be applied in speed breeding to shorten the breeding cycle and make effective use of resources are single seed descent (SSD), single pod descent (SPD), single plant selection (SPS), clonal selection, and marker-assisted selection (MAS). (Hickey *et.al.*, 2017; Samineniet.*al.*, 2020; Watson *et.al.*, 2018).

About three to nine generations are generated annually with speed breeding, as opposed to one to two generations annually through traditional selection techniques. (Ghosh *et.al.*, 2018). Therefore, homozygous and stable genotypes can be achieved quickly by speed breeding, leading to the introduction of novel cultivars.(Watson *et.al.*, 2018).Furthermore, for multiple trait selection, high-throughput phenotyping approaches and MAS work well with speed breeding technologies.

This paper attempts to explain the primary advantages and drawbacks of speed breeding, as well as the selection techniques that can be used to progress the early generation. When compared to conventional breeding, which can take up to 8 to 10 years, the current analysis emphasizes the potential benefits of speed breeding for the successful development and release of agricultural cultivars in about 5 years.

PRINCIPLES OF SPEED BREEDING:

The idea behind speed breeding is to use daylight length control of 22 hours of light, 22⁰C during the day, and 17⁰C at night with high light intensity and optimum temperature which varies depending on the crop, and optimal light quality. These factors generally speed up photosynthesis encouraging early flowering, seed maturity, and harvesting, and ultimately reduce the generation time needed for crop growth and development. To create an effective breeding line, single-seed descent is frequently combined with speed breeding. (Ghosh *et.al.*, 2018)

Speed breeding, I:Controlled environmental chamber speed breeding condition (John Innes Centre, UK)

A regulated environmental chamber program is operated for a maximum of 22 hours of light and 2 hours of darkness, a temperature of 22°C of light and 17°C of darkness, and 70% humidity, with light sources including far-red LEDs, white LEDs, and ceramic metal hydrargyrum quartz iodide lamps with intensities of 360–380 (bench height) & 490–500 (Adult Plant height) $\mu\text{ mol m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$

Speed breeding II: Glasshouse speed breeding conditions (Hickey Lab, Univ. of Queensland, Australia)

A temperature-controlled glasshouse with high-pressure sodium vapor lamps that have the following settings with photoperiod 22 hours of light and 2 hours of darkness, temperature 22°C of light and 17°C of dark with humidity of 70%, and light intensity 440-650 (Adult Plant height) $\mu\text{ mol m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$

Speed breeding III: Homemade growth room design for low-cost speed breeding (Hickey Lab, of Queensland, Australia)

An economically handcrafted structure measuring roughly 3 m x 3 m x 3 m, with insulated sandwich paneling, lighting equipment consisting of about 7-8 LED lightboxes, and a photoperiod of 12 hours by 12 hours (light-dark) for 4 weeks before increasing to 18 hours by 6 hours with a temperature of 21°C during the photoperiod and 18°C during the dark using light intensity 210–260 (bench height) & 340–590 (Adult Plant height) $\mu\text{ mol m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$

OPPORTUNITIES OF SPEED BREEDING TECHNIQUES

The Development of Homozygous Lines:

Speed breeding techniques, which involve basic crossings of chosen parents with complementary traits, have been applied in several crops to quickly produce homozygous lines. The strategy depends on optimising planting density, soil moisture, light intensity, temperature, and soil nutrition. By using these strategies to promote early blooming and seed set, the amount of time required to generate each breeding generation has been reduced. This process can yield three to nine breeding generations annually. This is ideal for rapid breeding under planned production circumstances and population assessment using multiple selection approaches such as SSD, SPD, and SPS. (El-Hashash& El-Absy, 2019).

Amenability with Selection Methods:

The generation advancement through speed breeding is accepted, and it requires no phenotypic selection. On another hand, target trait selection may effectively incorporate current technologies. In situations where plant growth is limited, the combination of speed breeding and efficient selection techniques should enable the maintenance of a healthy breeding population and genetic variety as well as the production of maximum yields. (Johnston *et.al.*, 2019). To choose the genotypes that produce superior results, conventional selection techniques like bulk, mass, recurrent, pedigree, and pure line selection require a genetically stable plant population. As these approaches necessitate extensive phases of inbreeding and selection, they are not recommended for rapid breeding. Single plant selection (SPS), single pod descent (SPD), and single seed descent (SSD) are the most suitable selection techniques compatible with speed breeding. These techniques are explained in brief below.

Single seed descent method:

In single seed descent (SSD), one seed from each F₂ plant is retained and these individuals are passed down to the following generation in an effort to continuously inbreed segregating populations until homozygous populations are achieved. Every inbred line that is created can be traced back to an F₂ plant. Using SSD to create inbred lines takes less time than using the doubled haploid (DH) approach.

Single pod descent method:

Rather than selecting a single seed, the single pod descent (SPD) approach selects one pod per plant from each F₂ through F₄ plant. By following this method containing more than one seed per pod in most legume crops, SPD has a higher likelihood of preserving each F₂ plant in the advanced generations than SSD selection.

Single plant selection method:

By gathering all of the seeds from each chosen plant, the single plant selection (SPS) approach progresses every F₂ plant. As a result, the following generation will progress from plant to row. To create introgression lines (ILs), a modified backcross strategy has been developed using the SPS method.

COMMON CHALLENGES IN SPEED BREEDING:

Lack of trained personnel:

A shortage of qualified and employed plant breeding technicians in developing countries is an important challenge to speed breeding in the public sector. (Morris

et.al.,2006;Shimelis*et.al.*,2019). A few countries lack the proper legislative and administrative frameworks to govern the rights of plant breeders and regulate seeds, which would promote plant breeding and enhance the value chain that links farmers to consumers. (Tripp *et.al.*,2007).Therefore, in order to secure the sustainability of long-term crop improvement programs and the uptake of scientific advances like speed breeding, developing nations must modify their policies and practices regarding investments in plant breeding education, research, and personnel retention.

Inadequate infrastructure:

Institutional support is insufficient in public plant breeding programs in several developing nations. Speed breeding platforms require specialized infrastructure to regulate soil moisture, temperature, and photoperiod. (Byerlee& Fischer,2002). Also, there is a lack of the specialized tools required to carry out the trait selection process during early generation progress. As a result, while building infrastructure, national and regional organizations must actively collaborate and share resources and information. This relationship of cooperation continues after the infrastructure is established(Ribaut*et.al.*,2010).

Cost of Establishment:

The expense of speed breeding can be high, and the number of crossings and population sizes that can be assessed is sometimes limited by the size and management of an appropriate facility. This challenge should be addressed by speed breeding in conjunction with other modern breeding techniques, such as genomics-assisted breeding to capitalize on established marker-trait correlations, by focusing resources on plants that are most likely to contribute to the breeding objectives. By combining improved field trials with speed breeding, researchers can concentrate only on those aspects of the breeding program that stand to gain from acceleration, such as the parental crossing process in clonally propagated crops or those with long juvenile periods, or the creation of elite inbred lines following hybridization. Over half the price of speed breeding systems focus on temperature regulation and lighting (O’Connor *et.al.*, 2013). Using energy-efficient lighting like LED and air conditioning similar to inverter-based equipment can help cut this expense. Solar power can also be used to supplement the national grid's supply of gas and electricity. (Ghosh *et.al.*, 2018).

APPLICATIONS OF SPEED BREEDING:

Developing biparental and more complicated mapping populations, pyramiding traits, accelerating backcrosses, phenotyping adult plant traits, mutant study, and gene transfer studies are numerous instances of speed breeding applications (Varshney *et.al.*,2021). Speed breeding helps in resolving challenges with doubled haploid technology such as inadequate vigor, poor germination rate, and even abnormal growth. Due to the various meiotic events that occur during repeated fertilization and the increased recombination frequency that results, recombinant inbred lines (RILs) created after several generations of self-fertilization can be preferable to DH for genetic mapping purposes. Similarly, given Speed breeding conditions, SSD can be used to develop and evaluate segregating generations quickly (Sinha *et.al.*,2021), which is more time and cost-effective than the traditional pedigree breeding approach (Jähne*et.al.*,2020).

RECENT ADVANCEMENTS IN SPEED BREEDING:

Type of Photoperiod	Family	Species	Generations/ Year	Reference

Long day	Poaceae	Oat (<i>Avena sativa</i>)	~7 generations	Liu <i>et.al.</i> , [2016]
Long day	Poaceae	Barley (<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>)	~6 generations	Hickey <i>et.al.</i> , [2017]
Long day	Fabaceae	Clover (<i>Trifolium subterraneum</i>)	2.7–6.1 Generations	Pazos-Navarro <i>et.al.</i> , [2017].
Long day	Fabaceae	Lentil (<i>Lens culinaris</i>)	~8 generations	Mobiniet.al., [2015]
Long day	Fabaceae	Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i>)	~6 generations	Watson <i>et.al.</i> , [2018];
Long day	Fabaceae	Pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i>)	6.8 generations	Ribaltaet.al., [2017]
Long day	Fabaceae	Faba bean (<i>Vicia faba</i>)	7 generations	Mobiniet.al., [2015]
Long day	Fabaceae	Narrow-leaf lupin (<i>Lupinus angustifolius</i>)	5 generations	Croseret.al., [2016]
Long day	Brassicaceae	Rapeseed (<i>Brassica napus</i>)	~5 generations	Watson <i>et.al.</i> , [2018]
Long day	Linaceae	Flax (<i>Linum usitatissimum</i>)	~3 generations	Sysoeva <i>et.al.</i> , [2010]
Short day	Poaceae	Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	~4–5 generations	Rana <i>et.al.</i> , [2019]
Short day	Poaceae	Sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>)	4 generations	Forster <i>et.al.</i> , [2014]
Short day	Fabaceae	Soybean (<i>Glycine max</i>)	~5 generations	Nagathosiet.al., [2019]; Jahneet.al., [2020]
Short day	Fabaceae	Pigeon pea (<i>Cajanus cajan</i>)	~4 generations	Saxena <i>et.al.</i> , [2017]
Short day	Fabaceae	Bambara groundnut (<i>Vigna subterranean</i>)	~4 generations	Ochattet.al., [2002]
Short day	Fabaceae	Groundnut	~4 generations	O'Connor <i>et.al.</i> ,

		(<i>Arachis hypogea</i>)		[2013]
Short day	Amaranthaceae	Grain Amaranthus (<i>Amaranthus</i> spp.)	~6 generations	Stetter <i>et.al.</i> , [2016]

CONCLUSION:

By reducing time, space, and resources, speed breeding can hasten the production of high-performing cultivars with desirable market features by focusing on the genetic advancement and selection of superior crop varieties. With this method, crop variety improvements can be produced by breeders more quickly. The successful incorporation of speed breeding into a crop development program requires streamlined operations that minimize manpower and time constraints. Additionally, the successful selection of elite genotypes and lines with new characteristics can be improved by combining genetic engineering breeding techniques with marker-assisted selection and speed breeding. The SSD, SPD, and SPS procedures are the most suitable selection techniques compatible with speed breeding. However, many poor nations' adoption of speed breeding is constrained by the absence of qualified technicians and plant breeders, as well as the necessary infrastructure and dependable electrical and water supply.

REFERENCES:

Agbicodo, E. M., Fatokun, C. A., Muranaka, S., Visser, R. G. F., & Van Der Linden, C. G., (2009). Breeding drought tolerant cowpea: Constraints, accomplishments, and prospects. *Euphytica*, 167(3), 353– 370. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-9893-8>

Ahmar, S., Gill, R. A., Jung, K. H., Faheem, A., Qasim, M. U., Mubeen, M., & Zhou, W. (2020). Conventional and molecular techniques from simple breeding to speed breeding in crop plants: Recent advances and future outlook. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(7), 2590. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21072590>

Alahmad, S., Dinglasan, E., Ming Leung, K., Riaz, A., Derbal, N., Voss-Fels, K. P., Able, J. A., Bassi, F. M., Christopher, J., & Hickey, L. T. (2018). Speed breeding for multiple quantitative traits in durum wheat. *Plant Methods*, 14, 36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-018-0302-y>

Anjum, S. A., Ashraf, U., Zohaib, A., Tanveer, M., Naeem, M., Ali, I., Tabassum, T., & Nazir, U. (2017). Growth and developmental responses of crop plants under drought stress: A review. *Zemdirbyste*, 104(3), 267– 276. <https://doi.org/10.13080/z-a.2017.104.034>

Arbelaez, J. D., Tandayu, E., Reveche, M. Y., Jarana, A., van Rogen, P., Sandager, L., Stolt, P., Ng, E., Varshney, R. K., Kretschmar, T., & Cobb, J. (2019). Methodology: Ssb-MASS: A single seed-based sampling strategy for marker-assisted selection in rice. *Plant Methods*, 15(1), 78. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-019-0464-2>

Bermejo, C., Gatti, I., & Cointy, E. (2016). In vitro embryo culture to shorten the breeding cycle in lentils (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 127(3), 585–590. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-1065-7>

Bhatta, M., Sandro, P., Smith, M. R., Delaney, O., Voss-Fels, K. P., Gutierrez, L., & Hickey, L. T. (2021). Need for speed: Manipulating plant growth to accelerate breeding cycles. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 60, 101986. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2020.101986>

Bordes, J., Charmet, G., De Vaulx, R. D., Lapierre, A., Pollacsek, M., Beckert, M., & Gallais, A. (2007). Doubled-haploid versus single-seed descent and S1-family variation for testcross performance in a maize population. *Euphytica*, 154,41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-006-9266-5>

Breseghele, F., & Coelho, A. S. G. (2013). Traditional and modern plant breeding methods with examples in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61(35),8277– 8286. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf305531j>

Bunce, J. A. (2015). Elevated carbon dioxide effects on reproductive phenology and seed yield among soybean cultivars. *Crop Science*, 55(1),339–343. <https://doi.org/10.2135/crops.ci2014.04.0273>

Byerlee, D., & Fischer, K. (2002). Accessing modern science: Policy and institutional options for agricultural biotechnology in developing countries. *World Development*, 30(6), 931–948. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(02\)00013-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(02)00013-X)

Chiurugwi, T., Kemp, S., Powell, W., & Hickey, L. T. (2018). Speed breeding orphan crops. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 132(3), 607– 616. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-018-3202-7>

Collard, B. C. Y., Beredo, J. C., Lenaerts, B., Mendoza, R., Santelices, R., Lopena, V., Verdeprado, H., Raghavan, C., Gregorio, G. B., Vial, L. *et.al.*, (2017). Revisiting rice breeding methods—evaluating the use of rapid generation advance (RGA) for routine rice breeding. *Plant Production Science*, 20(4), 337–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2017.1391705>

Croser JS, Pazos-Navarro M, Bennett RG et al (2016) Time to flowering of temperate pulses in vivo and generation turnover in vivo–in vitro of narrow-leaf lupin accelerated by low red to far-red ratio and high intensity in the far-red region. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 127:591–599. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-1092-4>

De La Fuente, G. N., Frei, U. K., & Lübbert, T. (2013). Accelerating plant breeding. *Trends in Plant Science*, 18(12), 667–672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.09.001>

De La Fuente, G. N., Frei, U. K., & Lübbert, T. (2013). Accelerating plant breeding. *Trends in Plant Science*, 18(12), 667–672.

De Rouw, A., & Winkel, T. (1998). Drought avoidance by asynchronous flowering in pearl millet stands cultivated on-farm and on-station in Niger. *Experimental Agriculture*, 34(1), 19–39. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479798001057>

Destro, D., Bizeti, H. S., Garcia, L. A., De Batista Fonseca, I. C., Montalván, R., & Miglioranza, É. (2003). Comparison between the SPD and the SPDS methods for segregating generation advancement in soybean. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 46(4), 545–551. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132003000400008>

Dubcovsky, J., Loukoianov, A., Fu, D., Valarik, M., Sanchez, A., & Yan, L. (2006). Effect of photoperiod on the regulation of wheat vernalization genes VRN1 and VRN2. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 60(4), 469– 480. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-005-4814-2>

Dwivedi, S. L., Britt, A. B., Tripathi, L., Sharma, S., Upadhyaya, H. D., & Ortiz, R. (2015). Haploids: Constraints and opportunities in plant breeding. *Biotechnology Advances*, 33(6), 812– 829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv>

El-Hashash, E. F., & El-Absy, K. M. (2019). Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) breeding. In J. Al-Khayri, S. Jain, & D. V. Johnson (Eds.), *Advances in Plant Breeding Strategies: Cereals* (pp. 1–45). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23108-8_1

Fehr, W. (1991). *Principles of cultivar development: Theory and techniques*. Macmillan Publishing Company. Agronomy Books 1. Retrieved from: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/agron_books/1

Forster, B. P., Till, B. J., Ghanim, A. M. A., Huynh, H. O. A., Burstmayr, H., & Caligari, P. D. S. (2014). Accelerated plant breeding. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 9, 20149043. <https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR20149043>

Fukushima, A., Shiratsuchi, H., Yamaguchi, H., & Fukuda, A. (2011). Effects of nitrogen application and planting density on morphological traits, dry matter production and yield of large grain type rice variety bekoaoba and strategies for super high-yielding rice in the tohoku region of Japan. *Plant Production Science*, 14(1), 56–63. <https://doi.org/10.1626/pp.14.56>

Funada, M., Helms, T. C., Hammond, J. J., Hossain, K., & Doetkott, C. (2013). Single-seed descent, single-pod, and bulk sampling methods for soybean. *Euphytica*, 192(2), 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0837-3>

Ghosh, S., Watson, A., Gonzalez-Navarro, O. E., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R. H., Yanes, L., Mendoza-Suárez, M., Simmonds, J., Wells, R., Rayner, T., Green, P., Hafeez, A., Hayta, S., Melton, R. E., Steed, A., Sarkar, A., Carter, J., Perkins, L., Lord, J., Tester, M., ... Hickey, L. T. (2018). Speed breeding in growth chambers and glasshouses for crop breeding and model plant research. *Nature Protocols*, 13(12), 2944–2963. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0072-z>

Goufo, P., Moutinho-Pereira, J. M., Jorge, T. F., Correia, C. M., Oliveira, M. R., Rosa, E. A. S. S., António, C., & Trindade, H. (2017). Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) metabolomics: Osmoprotection as a physiological strategy for drought stress resistance and improved yield. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 586. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00586>

Hatfield, J. L., & Prueger, J. H. (2015). Temperature extremes: Effect on plant growth and development. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 10, 4–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2015.08.001>

Hayashi, S., Kamoshita, A., & Yamagishi, J. (2006). Effect of planting density on grain yield and water productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in flooded and non-flooded fields in Japan. *Plant Production Science*, 9(3), 298–311. <https://doi.org/10.1626/pp.9.298>

Hickey, L. T., Dieters, M. J., DeLacy, I. H., Christopher, M. J., Kravchuk, O. Y., & Banks, P. M. (2010). Screening for grain dormancy in segregating generations of dormant × non-dormant crosses in white-grained wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Euphytica*, 172(2), 183–195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-0028-z> | 193

Hickey, L. T., Germán, S. E., Pereyra, S. A., Diaz, J. E., Ziemis, L. A., Fowler, R. A., Platz, G. J., Franckowiak, J. D., & Dieters, M. J. (2017). Speed breeding for multiple disease

resistance in barley. *Euphytica*, 213, 64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-016-1803-2>

Hickey, L. T., N. Hafeez, A., Robinson, H., Jackson, S. A., Leal-Bertioli, S. C. M., Tester, M., Gao, C., Godwin, I. D., Hayes, B. J., & Wulff, B. B. H. (2019). Breeding crops to feed 10 billion. *Nature Biotechnology*, 37(7), 744–754. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0152-9>

Hussain, H. A., Hussain, S., Khaliq, A., Ashraf, U., Anjum, S. A., Men, S., & Wang, L. (2018). Chilling and drought stresses in crop plants: Implications, cross talk, and potential management opportunities. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 393. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00393>

Jagadish, S. V. K., Bahuguna, R. N., Djanaguiraman, M., Gamuyao, R., Prasad, P. V. V., & Craufurd, P. Q. (2016). Implications of high temperature and elevated CO₂ on flowering time in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 913. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00913>

Jahne, F., Hahn, V., Würschum, T., & Leiser, W. L. (2020). Speed breeding short-day crops by LED-controlled light schemes. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 133, 2335–2342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-020-03601-4>

Johnston, H. R., Keats, B. J. B., & Sherman, S. L. (2019). Population genetics. In R. E. Pyeritz, B. R. Korf, & W. W. Grody (Eds.), *Emery and Rimoin's Principles and Practice of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Foundations* (pp. 359–373). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812537-3.00012-3>

Jones, O. R., & Johnson, G. L. (1991). Row width and plant density effects on Texas high plains sorghum. *Journal of Production Agriculture*, 4(4), 613–621. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jpa1991.0613>

Khan, A., Najeeb, U., Wang, L., Tan, D. K. Y., Yang, G., Munsif, F., Ali, S., & Hafeez, A. (2017). Planting density and sowing date strongly influence growth and lint yield of cotton crops. *Field Crops Research*, 209, 129–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.04.019>

Khan, N., Han, Y., Xing, F., Feng, L., Wang, Z., Wang, G., Yang, B., Fan, Z., Lei, Y., Xiong, S., Li, X., & Li, Y. (2019). Plant density influences reproductive growth, lint yield and boll spatial distribution of cotton. *Agronomy*, 10(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10010014>

Khosla, G., Gill, B. S., & Sharma, P. (2019). Comparison of different breeding methods for developing superior genotypes in soybean. *Agricultural Research Journal*, 56(4), 628. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2395-146x.2019.00098.x>

Kouressy, M., Dingkuhn, M., Vaksman, M., & Heinemann, A. B. (2008). Adaptation to diverse semi-arid environments of sorghum genotypes having different plant types and sensitivity to photoperiod. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 148(3), 357–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo rmet.2007.09.009>

Laux, P., Jäckel, G., Tingem, R. M., & Kunstmann, H. (2010). Impact of climate change on agricultural productivity under rainfed conditions in Cameroon—A method to improve attainable crop yields by planting date adaptations. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 150(9), 1258–1271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo rmet.2010.05.008>

Liu, H., Zwer, P., Wang, H., Liu, C., Lu, Z., Wang, Y., et al. (2016). A fast generation cycling system for oat and triticale breeding. *Plant Breed.* 135, 574–579. doi: 10.1111/pbr.12408

Ma, H., Busch, R. H., Riera-Lizarazu, O., Rines, H. W., & Dill-Macky, R. (1999). Agronomic performance of lines derived from anther culture, maize pollination and single-seed descent in a spring wheat cross. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 99, 432–436. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051254>

McClung, C. R., Lou, P., Hermand, V., & Kim, J. A. (2016). The importance of ambient temperature to growth and the induction of flowering. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 1266. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01266>

Mobini, S. H., Lulsdorf, M., Warkentin, T. D., & Vandenberg, A. (2015). Plant growth regulators improve in vitro flowering and rapid generation advancement in lentil and faba bean. *Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology - Plant*, 51(1), 71–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-014-9647-8>

Mobini, S., Khazaei, H., Warkentin, T. D., & Vandenberg, A. (2020). Shortening the generation cycle in faba bean (*Vicia faba*) by application of cytokinin and cold stress to assist speed breeding. *Plant Breeding*, 139(6), 1181–1189. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12868>

Mobini, S. H., & Warkentin, T. D. (2016). A simple and efficient method of in vivo rapid generation technology in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology - Plant*, 52(5), 530–536. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-016-9772-7>

Morris, M. L., & Bellon, M. R. (2004). Participatory plant breeding research: Opportunities and challenges for the international crop improvement system. *Euphytica*, 136(1), 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EUPH.0000019509.37769.b1>

Morris, M., Edmeades, G., & Pehu, E. (2006). The global need for plant breeding capacity: What roles for the public and private sectors? *HortScience*, 41(1), 30–39. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.41.1.30>

Munamava, M., & Riddoch, I. (2001). Response of three sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) varieties to soil moisture stress at different developmental stages. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*, 18(2), 75–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.2001.10634407>

Murashige, T., & Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 15(3), 473–497. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1962.tb08052.x>

Nagatoshi, Y., & Fujita, Y. (2019). Accelerating soybean breeding in a CO₂-supplemented growth chamber. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 60(1), 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcy189>

O'Connor, D. J., Wright, G. C., Dieters, M. J., George, D. L., Hunter, M. N., Tatnell, J. R., & Fleischfresser, D. B. (2013). Development and application of speed breeding technologies in a commercial peanut breeding program. *Peanut Science*, 40(2), 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.3146/PS12-12.1>

Ochatt, S. J., Sangwan, R. S., Marget, P., Assoumou Ndong, Y., Rancillac, M., & Perney, P. (2002). New approaches toward the shortening of generation cycles for faster breeding of protein legumes. *Plant Breeding*, 121(5), 436–440. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2002.746803.x>

Ochatt, S. J., & Sangwan, R. S. (2008). In vitro shortening of generation time in

Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 93, 133– 137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-008-9351-7>

Pazos-Navarro, María & Castello, Marieclaire & Bennett, Richard & Nichols, Phillip & Croser, Janine. (2017). In vitro-assisted single-seed descent for breeding-cycle compression in subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum* L.). *Crop and Pasture Science*. 68. 10.1071/CP17067.

Pignone, D., De Paola, D., Rapanà, N., & Janni, M. (2015). Single seed descent: A tool to exploit durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) genetic resources. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 62, 1029– 1035. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-014-0206-2>

Priyadarshan, P. M. (2019). *Breeding self-pollinated crops*. *Plant Breeding: Classical to Modern* (pp. 223–241). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7095-3_11

Rahman, M. A., Quddus, M. R., Jahan, N., Rahman, A., Hossain, M. R. A. S. H., & Iftekaruddaula, K. M. (2019). Field rapid generation advance: An effective technique for industrial scale rice breeding program. *The Experiment*, 47(2), 2659–2670

Rana, M.M.; Takamatsu, T.; Baslam, M.; Kaneko, K.; Itoh, K.; Harada, N.; Sugiyama, T.; Ohnishi, T.; Kinoshita, T.; Takagi, H.; et al. Salt Tolerance Improvement in Rice through Efficient SNP Marker-Assisted Selection Coupled with Speed-Breeding. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 2585.

Ribalta, F. M., Croser, J. S., Erskine, W., Finnegan, P. M., Lulsdorf, M. M., and Ochatt, S. J. (2014). Antigonin-induced reduction of internode length favors in vitro flowering and seed set in different pea genotypes. *Biol. Plant*. 58, 39–46. doi: 10.1007/s10535-013-0379-0

Ribaut, J. M., de Vicente, M. C., & Delannay, X. (2010). Molecular breeding in developing countries: Challenges and perspectives. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 13(2), 213–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.011>

Saito, H., Yuan, Q., Okumoto, Y., Doi, K., Yoshimura, A., Inoue, H., Teraishi, M., Tsukiyama, T., & Tanisaka, T. (2009). Multiple alleles at the Early Flowering 1 locus make a variation in the basic vegetative growth period in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 119(2), 315– 323. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-009-1040-3>

Samineni, S., Sen, M., Sajja, S. B., & Gaur, P. M. (2019). Rapid generation advance (RGA) in chickpeas to produce up to seven generations per year and enable speed breeding. *Crop Journal*, 8(1), 164–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2019.08.003>

Saxena, K.; Saxena, R.K.; Varshney, R.K. Use of immature seed germination and single seed descent for rapid genetic gains in pigeon pea. *Plant Breed.* **2017**, *136*, 954–957.

Shimelis, H., Gwata, E. T., & Laing, M. D. (2019). Crop improvement for agricultural transformation in Southern Africa. In R. A. Sikora, E. R. Terry, P. L. G. Vlek, & J. Chitja (Eds.), *Transforming Agriculture in Southern Africa*, 1st ed. (pp. 97–103). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429401701>

Shimelis, H., & Laing, M. (2012). Timelines in conventional crop improvement: Pre-breeding and breeding procedures. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 6(11), 1542– 1549

Sinha, P., Singh, V. K., Bohra, A., Kumar, A., Reif, J. C., & Varshney, R. K. (2021).

Genomics and breeding innovations for enhancing genetic gain for climate resilience and nutrition traits. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 134(6), 1829-1843.

Stetter, M.G.; Zeitler, L.; Steinhaus, A.; Kroener, K.; Biljecki, M.; Schmid, K.J. Crossing Methods and Cultivation Conditions for Rapid Production of Segregating Populations in Three Grain Amaranth Species. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, 7, 816.

Sysoeva, M.I.; Markovskaya, E.F.; Shibaeva, T.G. Plants under Continuous Light: A Review. *Plant Stress* **2010**, 4, 5–17.

Tester, M., & Langridge, P. (2010). Breeding technologies to increase crop production in a changing world. *Science*, 327(5967), 818-822.

Tripp, R., Louwaars, N., & Eaton, D. (2007). Plant variety protection in developing countries. A report from the field. *Food Policy*, 32(3), 354– 371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2006.09.003>

Varshney, R. K., Bohra, A., Roorkiwal, M., Barmukh, R., Cowling, W. A., Chitikineni, A., ... & Siddique, K. H. (2021). Fast-forward breeding for a food-secure world. *Trends in Genetics*, 37(12), 1124-1136.

Watson, A., Ghosh, S., Williams, M. J., Cuddy, W. S., Simmonds, J., Rey, M. –D., Asyraf Md Hatta, M., Hinchliffe, Alison, Steed, Andrew, Reynolds, Daniel, Adamski, Nikolai M., Breakspear, Andy, Korolev, Andrey, Rayner, Tracey, Dixon, Laura E., Riaz, Adnan, Martin, William, Ryan, Merrill, Edwards, David, Batley, Jacqueline, Raman, Harsh, Carter, Jeremy, Rogers, Christian, Domoney, Claire, Moore, Graham, Harwood, Wendy, Nicholson, Paul, Dieters, Mark J., DeLacy, Ian H., Zhou, Ji, Uauy, Cristobal, Boden, Scott A., Park, Robert F., Wulff, Brande B. H., & Hickey, Lee T. (2018). Speed breeding is a powerful tool to accelerate crop research and breeding. *Nature Plants*, 4(1), 23– 29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0083-8>
