

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110166
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Crop Load on Growth, Yield and Physical Parameters in apple cv. Gala Redlum
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes manuscript is significant for scientific community as it address the key issue of crop load management in apple cv. Gala Redlum.</p> <p>Title of article demands changes, I would like to suggest alternative title "Impact of crop load on growth, flowering and fruiting parameters in apple cv. Gala Redlum".</p> <p>Abstract of article requires major changes and it may be modified (changes suggested in manuscript)</p> <p>Although structure of manuscript is appropriate it requires minor revision viz., in result section sequence of parameters can be changed for better presentation. After plant height, annual shoot length trait may be included, subsequently return bloom trait can be added.</p> <p>Yes manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>References are sufficient and recent. However, references may be written as per journal format.</p> <p>Following key points need to address in entire manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract requires major revision 2. Abbreviations should be defined at first mention and used consistently thereafter. (TCSA and RCBD abbreviations in current manuscript). 3. Tables may be corrected as per journal format i.e. parameter name may be included in table with unit. In present manuscript parameter name is included in title of table and missing table. Bold font is used in table which is unwarranted. 4. Insert proper units of parameters viz., fruit length (cm or mm) 5. Conclusion may be re-checked, how author arrived at conclusion that C₃ treatment is best when in entire manuscript C₂ was shown better. 6. Grammatical mistakes may be checked in entire manuscript. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language of manuscript requires improvement, comments are included in reviewed manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study is quite interesting and fulfils the scope of the Journal and can be accepted for publication with minor revision as cited in reviewed manuscript. However, abstract and conclusion section requires major revision, while rest sections requires minor improvement. As in conclusion section best treatment was shown as C₃ whereas data presented in table shown C₂ is best treatment.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Darshan Manikrao Kadam
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Institute of Soil and Water Conservation Research Centre, India