

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108902
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and economics of lentil (<i>Lens culinaris</i> L.).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript contains results that have productive and economic importance.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yeah. The summary is concrete and contains the main results obtained by the authors</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>I do not believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct since it does not mention the size of the plots or the number of plants in them, nor the planting rate where the treatments were applied. This is extremely important since it determines performance and its components, a trait highly influenced by the environment.</p> <p>The references are sufficient but some are not so recent, but this may be due to the lack of updated published manuscripts.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English language and the writing is not homogeneous throughout the manuscript. This wording should also be reviewed in Table 1 where in P2-40 a value appears in the number of branches column that appears to have an error.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ma Espósito
Department, University & Country	UNR, United States