

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109935
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Diet Alternatives or Supplements for Healthy Honey Beekeeping
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The presented manuscript is of great importance for practical beekeeping. The feeding characteristics of honeybees under conditions of deficiency of carbohydrate or protein feed are the main factors in the development and rot of the bee colony.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. It is recommended to divide the subsections of the manuscript article into two main sections: 1 natural food - carbohydrate and protein food, and also the second section, Feeds and fertilizers used for feeding bees, where it is clearly divided which fertilizers are used for bees.</p> <p>5. The presented manuscript does not fully reflect the objectively current state of feeding honey bees.</p> <p>6. The references indicated in the manuscript are quite recent, with about 30% of the sources published over the last 5 years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Quality of language suitable for scientific communication	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The publication requires some work to systematize possible artificial food for bees. We recommend adding data on fertilizing with minerals, etc.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Brandorf Anna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rudnitsky Federal Agricultural Research Center of the North-East, Russia</b>