

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_109627
Title of the Manuscript:	Ring finger: study of 30 cases and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The article is a valuable contribution to the understanding of the ring finger lesions. It effectively summarizes the current state of knowledge while highlighting the need for more extensive studies. With some minor improvements, it can be a strong addition to the existing literature on this topic.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article is well-structured and easy to follow. However, providing a bit more context on the clinical implications of these pathologies could enhance its overall impact.</li> <li>2. The language used in the article is generally clear and concise, which is essential for scientific writing. Proofreading for minor grammatical errors and sentence structure can further improve clarity.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anghel Larisa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>“Prof. Dr. George I.M. Georgescu” Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Romania</b>